### 2.4 Proof of the relations between finiteness conditions

Proposition 2.5. Let $N$ be a submodule of $M$.
(a) $M$ satisfies $A C C$ if and only if $N$ and $M / N$ satisfy $A C C$.
(b) $M$ satisfies $D C C$ if and only if $N$ and $M / N$ satisfy DCC.
(c) $M$ satsfies both $A C C$ and DCC if and only if $N$ and $M / N$ satisfy both $A C C$ and DCC.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ : Assume that $M$ satisfies ACC.
To show: (aa) $N$ satisfies ACC.
To show: (ab) $M / N$ satsfies ACC.
(aa) Let $0=N_{0} \subseteq N_{1} \subseteq \cdots$ be a chain in $\mathcal{S}_{[0, N]}$.
Since $N \subseteq M$ then $0=N_{0} \subseteq N_{1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M$ is a chain in $\mathcal{S}_{[0, M]}$.
Since $M$ satisfies ACC then $0=N_{0} \subseteq N_{1} \subseteq \cdots$ is finite.
So $N$ satisfies ACC.
(ab) Let $0=M_{0} / N \subseteq M_{1} / N \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M / N$ be a chain in $\mathcal{S}_{[0, M / N]}$.
By the correspondence theorem the chain in $\mathcal{S}_{[0, M / N]}$ corresponds to a chain $0 \subseteq N=M_{0} \subseteq$ $M_{1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M$ in $\mathcal{S}_{[0, M]}$.
Since $M$ satsifes ACC then $0 \subseteq N=M_{0} \subseteq M_{1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M$ is finite.
So $0=M_{0} / N \subseteq M_{1} / N \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M / N$ is finite.
So $M / N$ satsfies ACC.
(a) $\Leftarrow$ : Assume that $N$ and $M / N$ satisfy ACC.

To show: $M$ satsifies ACC. Let $0=M_{0} \subseteq M_{1} \subseteq \cdots$ be an ascending chain in $\mathcal{S}_{[0, M]}$.
Then

$$
0=\frac{M_{0}+N}{N} \subseteq \frac{M_{1}+N}{N} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \frac{M}{N} \quad \text { and } \quad 0=\left(M_{0} \cap N\right) \subseteq\left(M_{1} \cap N\right) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq N
$$

are ascending chains in $\mathcal{S}_{[0, M / N]}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{[0, N]}$.
Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that if $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq k}$ then

$$
\frac{M_{\ell}+N}{N}=\frac{M_{k}+N}{N} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{\ell} \cap N=M_{k} \cap N .
$$

By the correspondence theorem, if $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq k}$ then

$$
M_{\ell}+N=M_{k}+N \quad \text { and } \quad M_{\ell} \cap N=M_{k} \cap N .
$$

Thus

$$
M_{\ell} \cap\left(M_{k}+N\right)=M_{\ell} \cap\left(M_{\ell}+N\right)=M_{\ell} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{k}+\left(m_{\ell} \cap N\right)=M_{k}+\left(M_{k} \cap N\right)=M_{k} .
$$

Since $M_{k} \subseteq M_{\ell}$ then the modular law says that

$$
M_{\ell} \cap\left(M_{k}+N\right)=M_{k}+\left(M_{\ell} \cap N\right) .
$$

So $M_{k}=M_{\ell}$.
(b) The proof of (b) is similar to the proof of (a),
except with ACC replaced by DCC and $\subseteq$ replaced by $\supseteq$.
(c) is the combination of (a) and (b).

Proposition 2.6. Let $R$ be a ring and let $M$ be an $R$-module.
(a) If $M$ is finitely generated and $N$ is an $R$-submodule of $M$ then $M / N$ is finitely generated.
(b) $M$ satisfies $A C C$ if and only if every submodule of $M$ is finitely generated.
(c) If $R$ satisfies ACC and $M$ is finitely generated then $M$ satisfies ACC.
(e) If $R$ satisifes $D C C$ and $M$ is finitely generated then $M$ satisfies both $A C C$ and DCC.

Proof. (a) If $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ are generators of $M$ then $m_{1}+N, \ldots, m_{k}+N$ are generators of $M / N$.
(b) $\Leftarrow$ : Assume that every submodule of $M$ is finitely generated.

Let $N_{1} \subseteq N_{2} \subseteq \cdots$ be an ascending chain of submodules of $M$.
To show: There exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that if $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq r}$ then $N_{\ell}=N_{r}$.
Then $N_{\text {un }}=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} N_{i}$ is a finitely generated submodule of $M$
Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ be generators of $N_{\mathrm{un}}$ and let $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k}$ be such that $x_{i} \in N_{\ell_{i}}$.
Then $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in N_{r}$ where $r=\max \left\{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k}\right\}$.
So $N_{\mathrm{un}}=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} N_{i}=N_{r}$ and if $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>r}$ then $N_{r}=N_{\ell}$.
So $M$ satisfies ACC.
(b) $\Rightarrow$ : Assume that $M$ satisfies ACC and let $N$ be a submodule of $M$. Then one of the equivalent characterizations of ACC gives that the set of finitely generated submodule of $N$,

$$
\{P \subseteq N \mid P \text { is finitely generated }\}, \quad \text { has a maximal element } P_{\max } .
$$

To show: $N=P_{\max }$.
By definition, $P_{\max } \subseteq N$.
To show: $N \subseteq P_{\max }$.
Let $x \in N$.
Then $P_{\max }+\mathbb{A} x \subseteq N$ and $P_{\max }+\mathbb{A} x$ is finitely generated.
So $P_{\text {max }}+\mathbb{A} x \subseteq P_{\text {max }}$.
So $x \in P_{\text {max }}$.
So $N \subseteq P_{\text {max }}$.
So $N=P_{\text {max }}$.
So $N$ is finitely generated.
(c) $\Rightarrow$ : Assume $R$ satisfies ACC and $M$ is finitely generated.

To show: $M$ satsfies ACC.
Since $M$ is finitely generated there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{A}^{\oplus v} \rightarrow M$.
Since $\mathbb{A}$ satisfies ACC then $\mathbb{A}^{\oplus n}$ satisifes ACC.
So there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $\mathbb{A}^{\oplus n}$ satisfiying ACC.
By Proposition 4.4(a), $K$ and $M$ satisfy ACC.
So $M$ satisfies ACC.
(da) To show: If $R$ satisfies DCC and $M$ is finitely generated then $M$ satisfies DCC. The proof of (da) is the same as the proof of (c) except with ACC replaced by DCC and the inclreasing chains replaced by decreasing chains.
(db) Assume $R$ satisfies DCC and $M$ is finitely generated. To show: $M$ satisfies ACC.
Let $M_{i}=\operatorname{Rad}(R)^{i} M$.
By (da), $M$ satisfies DCC, and so $M_{i}$ and $M / M_{i}$ satisfy DCC. So $M_{i} / M_{i+1}$ satisfies DCC and $\operatorname{Rad}(R)$ acts on $M_{i} / M_{i+1}$ by 0 .
So $M_{i} / M_{i+1}$ is a $R / \operatorname{Rad}(R)$-module and thus $M_{i} / M_{i+1}$ is a finite direct sum of simple submodules.
So, by (a), $M$ has a composition series and satisfies both ACC and DCC.

