

## Assignment 3 Question 2

Ass 3 Q 2 ①

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{C \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid C \text{ is finite}\} \cup \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\}$ .

(a)  $\mathcal{I}$  will be a topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  if  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies

(aa)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{C}$

(ab) If  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  then  $(\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{S}} C) \in \mathcal{C}$

(ac) If  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  and  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k \in \mathcal{C}$  then  $C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_k \in \mathcal{C}$ .

(aa) follows by definition of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(ab) follows since intersections of finite sets are finite or empty.

(ac) follows since the union of a finite number of finite sets is finite.

(b) To show:  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{I})$  is not Hausdorff.

To show: There exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x \neq y$  such that there does not exist

$U, V \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

To show: There exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x \neq y$  <sup>Ass 3 Q 2 (2)</sup>  
such that there do not exist

$$C, D \in \mathcal{C} \text{ with } x \in C^c, y \in D^c \text{ and } C \cup D = \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $x=1$  and  $y=2$ .

To show: There do not exist  $C, D \in \mathcal{C}$   
with  $x \in C^c, y \in D^c$  and  $C \cup D = \mathbb{R}$ .

Case 1:  $C, D \in \mathcal{C}$  are finite sets and  
 $C \neq \mathbb{R}$  and  $D \neq \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $C \cup D \neq \mathbb{R}$ .

Case 2:  $C = \mathbb{R}$  and  $D \in \mathcal{C}$  is finite and  $D \neq \mathbb{R}$ .  
Then  $D^c = \emptyset$  and  $y \notin D^c$ .

Case 3  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  is finite and  $D = \mathbb{R}$  and  $C \neq \mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $D^c = \emptyset$  and  $y \notin D^c$ .

Case 4  $C = \mathbb{R}$  and  $D = \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $x \notin C^c$ .

So there do not exist  $C, D \in \mathcal{C}$  with  
 $x \in C^c, y \in D^c$  and  $C \cup D = \mathbb{R}$ .

So  $(X, \mathcal{P})$  is not Hausdorff.

(c)  $\overline{\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}} \in \mathcal{C}$  since  $\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$  is closed.

Since  $\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\} \subseteq \overline{\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}}$  then

$\overline{\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}}$  is not finite and not empty.

So  $\overline{\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}} = \mathbb{R}$ .

So every point of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a limit point of the sequence  $(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots)$  in  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T})$ .

Question 2 (d)

MHS Ass 3

Q2d

①

Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the Zariski topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let

$A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $F_A = A^c$  and  $F_B = B^c$  so that  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  are finite and

$$\mathcal{R} = A \cup F_A \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R} = B \cup F_B.$$

If  $p \notin A \cup B$  then  $p \notin A$  and  $p \notin B$  and so  $p \in F_A$  and  $p \in F_B$ . So

$$\mathcal{R} = (A \cup B) \cup (F_A \cap F_B)$$

If  $p \notin A \cap B$  then  $p \notin A$  or  $p \notin B$  and so  $p \in F_A$  or  $p \in F_B$ . So

$$\mathcal{R} = (A \cap B) \cup (F_A \cup F_B)$$

Since  $\mathcal{R} = (A \cup B) \cup (F_A \cap F_B)$  then

$$E = (E \cap (A \cup B)) \cup (E \cap F_A \cap F_B)$$

So

$E \cap (A \cup B) = E$  if and only if  $E \cap F_A \cap F_B = \emptyset$ .

Since  $R = (A \cap B) \cup (F_A \cup F_B)$  then MH5 Ans 3  
Q2d. (2)  

$$E = (E \cap A \cap B) \cup (E \cap (F_A \cup F_B)).$$

So  $E \cap A \cap B = \emptyset$  if and only if  $E \cap (F_A \cup F_B) = E$ .

Thus, if  $A, B \in \mathcal{J}$  form a disconnection of  $E$   
 (i.e.  $E \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ,  $E \cap B \neq \emptyset$ ,  $E \cap (A \cup B) = E$ ,  $E \cap A \cap B = \emptyset$ )

then  $E \cap (F_A \cup F_B) = E$  and  $E$  is finite and,

since  $E \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $E \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and  $(E \cap A) \cap (E \cap B) = \emptyset$ ,  
 $E$  contains at least two points.

So, if  $E$  is connected then  $E$  is infinite  
 or  $E$  is a single point.

Indeed, if  $p_1, p_2 \in E$  with  $p_1 \neq p_2$  <sup>and  $E$  is finite</sup> then

if  $A \in \mathcal{J}$  is infinite containing  $p_1$  and  
 $B \in \mathcal{J}$  is infinite containing the other points of  $E$   
 then  $A, B \in \mathcal{J}$  form a disconnection of  $E$ .

So the connected sets of  $R$  are the  
 infinite subsets of  $R$  and the  
 one point subsets of  $R$ .

Question 2(a)

MHSR353Q2a. ①

Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

To show  $E$  is compact.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be an open cover of  $E$  so that

$$E \subseteq \left( \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{S}} U \right).$$

Let  $V \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Case 1:  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $E \subseteq V$  and the single set  $\{V\}$  is a <sup>finite</sup> subcover of  $E$ .

Case 2:  $V \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $V^c$  is finite since  $V$  is open. So there exists  $L \in \mathbb{Z}_0$  with

$$(V^c \cap E) = \{e_1, \dots, e_L\}.$$

If  $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$  then there exists  $V_i \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $e_i \in V_i$  since  $\mathcal{S}$  covers  $E$ .

Then  $V \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_L \supseteq E$ .

So  $\mathcal{S}$  has a finite subcover.

So  $E$  is compact.  $\square$

Question 2(f)

MHS Ass 3 Q 2f (1)

By part (b),  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{I})$  is not Hausdorff.

By the following proposition a metric space (with the metric space topology) is Hausdorff. So there does not exist a metric  $d: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that the metric space topology for  $d$  is equal to  $\mathcal{I}$ .

Proposition Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $\mathcal{I}_d$  be the metric space topology. Then  $(X, \mathcal{I}_d)$  is Hausdorff.

Proof Let  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ .

Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z} > 0$  such that  $10^{-k} < \frac{d(x, y)}{2}$ .

Then  $B_{10^{-k}}(x)$  is open in  $\mathcal{I}_d$  and  $x \in B_{10^{-k}}(x)$  and  $B_{10^{-k}}(y)$  is open in  $\mathcal{I}_d$  and  $y \in B_{10^{-k}}(y)$ .

To show:  $B_{10^{-k}}(x) \cap B_{10^{-k}}(y) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $z \in B_{10^{-k}}(x)$

To show:  $d(z, y) \geq 10^{-k}$ .

Since  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) < 10^{-k} + d(z, y)$

then

$$d(z, y) > d(x, y) - 10^{-k} > d(x, y) - \frac{d(x, y)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{d(x, y)}{2} > 10^{-k}$$

So  $z \in B_{10^{-k}}(y)$ ,

So  $B_{10^{-k}}(x) \cap B_{10^{-k}}(y) = \emptyset$ .

So  $(X, \mathcal{T}_d)$  is Hausdorff.  $\square$