

GTLA Lecture 01.10.2020

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a sesquilinear form. Let W be a finite dimensional subspace of V and $W \cap W^\perp = \{0\}$.

The orthogonal projection onto W is the unique linear transformation $P_W : V \rightarrow V$ such that

(1) If $v \in V$ then $P_W(v) \in W$.

(2) If $v \in V$ and $w \in W$ then

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \langle P_W(v), w \rangle.$$

(A) If $w \in W$ then $w - P_W(w) \in W^\perp$

and $w - P_W(w) \in W^\perp$ (since

if $w' \in W$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w - P_W(w), w' \rangle &= \langle w, w' \rangle - \langle P_W(w), w' \rangle \\ &= \langle w, w' \rangle - \langle w, w' \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$w - P_W(w) \in W \cap W^\perp = 0.$$

So $w - P_W(w) = 0$ and

$$P_W(w) = w.$$

(B) If $v \in V$ then $P_W(v) \in W$

$$P_W(P_W(v)) = P_W(v)$$

So $P_W^2 = P_W$ (idempotent).

(C) Define $P_{W^\perp} = I - P_W$.

If $v \in V$ then $P_{W^\perp}(v) \in W^\perp$

(since $w' \in W$ then

$$\langle P_{W^\perp}(v), w' \rangle = \langle (I - P_W)(v), w' \rangle$$

$$= \langle v - P_W(v), w' \rangle$$

$$= \langle v, w' \rangle - \langle P_W(v), w' \rangle$$

$$= \langle v, w' \rangle - \langle v, w' \rangle = 0 \}.$$

(D) $(P_{W^\perp})^2 = P_{W^\perp}$, (P_{W^\perp} is also idemp.)

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (P_{W^\perp})^2 &= (1-P_W)(1-P_W) \\
 &= 1 - 2P_W + P_W^2 \\
 &= 1 - 2P_W + P_W = 1 - P_W = P_{W^\perp}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(E) $P_W P_{W^\perp} = 0 = P_{W^\perp} P_W.$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_W P_{W^\perp} &= P_W (1-P_W) \\
 &= P_W - P_W^2 = P_W - P_W = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

(F) $1 = P_W + P_{W^\perp}$

Since $\overset{\curvearrowleft}{P_W + P_{W^\perp}} = P_W + (1-P_W) = 1.$

Theorem Keep W is a fin.
dim'l subspace of V and
 $W \cap W^\perp = 0.$

Then

$$V = W \oplus W^\perp.$$

(orthogonal decomposition).

Proof To show: $V = W \oplus W^\perp$.

To show: (a) $V = W + W^\perp$

(b) $W \cap W^\perp = \{0\}$.

(b) is true by assumption.

(a) To show: (aa) $W + W^\perp \subseteq V$

(ab) $V \subseteq W + W^\perp$.

(aa) Since W and W^\perp are contained in V and V 's closed under addition then $W + W^\perp \subseteq V$.

(ab) To show: If $v \in V$ then there exist $x \in W$ and $y \in W^\perp$ such that $v = x + y$.

Assume $v \in V$.

Let $x = P_W(v)$ and $y = P_{W^\perp}(v)$.

Then

$$x+y = P_W(v) + P_{W^\perp}(v)$$

$$= (P_W + P_{W^\perp})(V) = V$$

$$= V.$$

So $V \subseteq W + W^\perp$.

So $V = W + W^\perp$ and $V = W \oplus W^\perp$.

Remark In Block decomposition theorem we had

$$p(x)v(x) + q(x)s(x) = I$$

and $P_U = p(A)v(A)$ and

$$P_W = q(A)s(A)$$

and $P_U^2 = P_U$, $P_W^2 = P_W$, $I = P_U + P_W$

and $U \oplus W = V$.

Adjoints: Same setup:

W is a fin. dim'ly subspace
of V and $W \cap W^\perp = 0$.

Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be a basis of W and $\{w'_1, \dots, w'_k\}$ be the dual basis to $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, i.e.

$$\langle w_i^*, w_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

Let $f: W \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation.

The adjoint of f is the linear transformation $f^*: W \rightarrow W$ such that

if $x, y \in W$ then

$$\langle f(x), y \rangle = \langle x, f^*(y) \rangle.$$

If $w \in W$ then write

$$w = c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{c}_j &= \langle w_j^*, c_j w_j \rangle \\ &= \langle w_j^*, c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k \rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$= \langle w^j, w \rangle$$

So $w = \overline{\langle w', w \rangle} w_1 + \dots + \overline{\langle w^k, w \rangle} w_k$.

Apply this to $f^*(y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(y) &= \overline{\langle w', f^*(y) \rangle} w_1 + \dots + \overline{\langle w^k, f^*(y) \rangle} w_k \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \overline{\langle w^i, f^*(y) \rangle} w_i. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$f^*(y) = \sum_{i=1}^k \overline{\langle f(w^i), y \rangle} w_i.$$

This is a formula for f^* purely in terms of f and $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ and $\{w', \dots, w^k\}$.

A linear transformation $f: W \rightarrow W$ is

(a) self adjoint, if $f = f^*$.

(i.e. if $x, y \in W$ then $\langle f(x), y \rangle = \langle x, f(y) \rangle$.)

(b) an isometry if $ff^t = I$

(i.e. if $x, y \in W$ then $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$)
 $= \langle x, f^t f(y) \rangle$

(c) is normal if $ff^t = f^*f$.

Favorite example

$W = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard dot product.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be standard basis

$$e_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is orthonormal.

Let $f: W \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the matrix of f with respect to $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$.

So $f: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$

Claim: $w \mapsto Aw$.

The matrix of $f^*: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$

$v \mapsto A^*v$

(with respect to $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$) is
 $A^* \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$A^*(i,j) = \overline{A(j,i)}.$$

(we write $A^* = \overline{A^t}$).

Since

$$\sum_{l=1}^n A^*(l,i) e_l = A^* e_i = f^*(e_i)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^n \langle f(e_l), e_i \rangle e_l. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{by the} \\ \text{formula} \\ \text{for } f^*(y) \end{array} \right.$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^n \langle Ae_l, e_i \rangle e_l.$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \langle A(k,l) e_k, e_i \rangle e_l$$

$$\langle ce_k, e_l \rangle = \overline{\langle e_k, e_l \rangle} = \overline{c} \langle e_k, e_l \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{l,k=1}^n \overline{A(k,l)} \langle e_k, e_l \rangle e_l \text{ eq.}$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^n \overline{A(l,l)} e_l.$$

so $A^*(l,i) = \overline{A(i,l)}$