

Uniqueness of limits: Hausdorff spaces.

Let (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be a topological space.

The topological space (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) is Hausdorff if (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) satisfies:

if $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in Y$ and $\mathcal{F}_1 \neq \mathcal{F}_2$ then
there exist $N_1 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}_1)$ and $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}_2)$ with
 $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$.

Proposition Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be topological spaces and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Assume (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) is Hausdorff.

(a) Let $a \in X$. If $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in Y$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = \mathcal{F}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = \mathcal{F}_2$$

then $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2$.

(b) Let (y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots) be a sequence in Y .

$$\text{If } z_1, z_2 \in Y \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z_1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z_2$$

then $z_1 = z_2$.

Proposition Let (Y, d_Y) be a metric space.

Let \mathcal{T}_Y be the metric space topology on Y .

Then (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) is Hausdorff.

18.08.2016 (2)

Proof (b) Assume $z_1, z_2 \in Y$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z_1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z_2$.

To show: $z_1 = z_2$.

Proof by contradiction:

Assume $z_1 \neq z_2$.

Let $N_1 \in \mathcal{N}(z_1)$ and $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}(z_2)$ with $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$.

Then ~~N_1 contains all~~ there exists $l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$

such that $\{y_{l_1}, y_{l_1+1}, \dots\} \subseteq N_1$.

There exists $l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\{y_{l_2}, y_{l_2+1}, \dots\} \subseteq N_2$.

Let $l = \max\{l_1, l_2\}$. Then $\{y_l, y_{l+1}, \dots\} \subseteq N_1 \cap N_2$.

This is a contradiction to $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$.

So $z_1 = z_2$.

Proof Let (Y, d) be a metric space.

Let \mathcal{T}_Y be the metric space topology.

To show: (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) is Hausdorff.

To show: If $z_1, z_2 \in Y$ then there exist

$N_1 \in \mathcal{N}(z_1)$ and $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}(z_2)$ with $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$

Assume $z_1, z_2 \in Y$.

Let $\varepsilon = d(z_1, z_2)$.

Let $N_1 = B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}(z_1)$ and $N_2 = B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}(z_2)$.

To show: $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$.

Proof by contradiction.

Assume $y \in N_1 \cap N_2$.

Since $N_1 = B_{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}(z_1)$ and $N_2 = B_{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}(z_2)$ then

$$d(y, z_1) < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \text{ and } d(y, z_2) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

$$\text{So } \epsilon = d(z_1, z_2) \leq d(y, z_1) + d(y, z_2) < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \frac{2\epsilon}{3}.$$

This is a contradiction to $\frac{2\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon$.

$$\text{So } N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset.$$

So (X, \mathcal{T}_y) is Hausdorff.

Example of a non Hausdorff space

Let $X = \{0, 1\}$ with $\mathcal{T}_y = \{\emptyset, X, \{0\}\}$.

Let $(y_n) = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 0$ since every $N \in \mathcal{N}(0)$ contains an infinite number of elements of the sequence.

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 1$ since every $N \in \mathcal{N}(1)$ (i.e. $N = X$) contains an infinite number of elements of the sequence.

So limits are not unique in (Y, \mathcal{T}_y) .

Some sequence definitions

Let (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be a topological space and let (y_1, y_2, \dots) be a sequence in Y .

Let $z \in Y$.

The point z is a limit point of (y_1, y_2, \dots) if (y_1, y_2, \dots) satisfies:

if $N \in \mathcal{N}(z)$ then there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that if $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell}$ then $y_n \in N$.

The point z is a cluster point of (y_1, y_2, \dots) if (y_1, y_2, \dots) satisfies

if $N \in \mathcal{N}(z)$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ then there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell}$ such that $y_n \in N$.

Exercise: Show that every ~~limit~~ limit point of (y_1, y_2, \dots) is a cluster point of (y_1, y_2, \dots) .

Let (Y, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space. Let (y_1, y_2, \dots) be a sequence in Y .

The sequence (y_1, y_2, \dots) is Cauchy if (y_1, y_2, \dots) satisfies:

if $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{U}$ then there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that if $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell}$ then $(x_m, x_n) \in \mathcal{D}$