

Notes: Toroidal Hecke algebras

Arun Ram*

Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin–Madison
Madison, WI 53706
ram@math.wisc.edu
Version: February 19, 2004

1. Grading toroidal Hecke algebras

The *toroidal Hecke algebra* ${}_X H_Y$ is the algebra given by generators

$$T_0, \dots, T_n, \quad X^\lambda, \quad \lambda \in P, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega,$$

with relations

$$\begin{aligned} (T_i - t_i^{\frac{1}{2}})(T_i + t_i^{\frac{1}{2}}) &= 0, & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n, \\ \underbrace{T_i T_j T_i \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ factors}} &= \underbrace{T_j T_i T_j \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ factors}}, \\ g_r T_i g_r^{-1} &= T_j, & \text{if } g_r(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j, \\ T_i X^\lambda T_i &= X^{\lambda - \alpha_i}, & \text{if } \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 1, \\ T_i X^\lambda &= X^\lambda T_i, & \text{if } \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0, \\ g_r X^\lambda g_r^{-1} &= X_{g_r \lambda} = X^{u_r^{-1} \lambda} q^{\langle \omega_r, \lambda \rangle}, & \text{for } r \in P/Q, \end{aligned}$$

Additional relations/definitions are

$$\langle \mu + j\delta, \lambda \rangle = \langle \mu, \lambda \rangle, \quad q_\alpha = q^{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle / 2}, \quad t_\alpha = q_\alpha^{c_\alpha},$$

and

$$X^{\lambda + j\delta} = X^\lambda q^j, \quad \text{and} \quad Y^{\lambda + j\delta} = Y^\lambda q^{-j},$$

and

$$u_r = g_r^{-1} \omega_r, \quad u_{r^*} = u_r^{-1}, \quad \pi_{r^*} = \pi_r^{-1}, \quad \text{for } r \in P/Q.$$

For $\lambda \in P$ define

$$Y^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i^{\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle}, \quad \text{where } Y_i = T_{\omega_i},$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, for $\lambda \in P$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_i^{-1} Y^\lambda T_i^{-1} &= Y^{\lambda - \alpha_i}, & \text{if } \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 1, & \quad \text{and} \\ T_i Y^\lambda &= Y^\lambda T_i, & \text{if } \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

* Research supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-?????.

Inside H let

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{\alpha_0} &= q^{-1}Y^{-\phi}, \\ \Phi_i &= T_i + (t_i^{1/2} - t_i^{-1/2})\frac{1}{Y^{-\alpha_i} - 1}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \Phi_0 &= X^\phi T_{s_\phi} - (t_0^{1/2} - t_0^{-1/2})\frac{1}{Y^{\alpha_0} - 1}, \\ \gamma_i &= t_i^{1/2} + (t_i^{1/2} - t_i^{-1/2})\frac{1}{Y^{-\alpha_i} - 1}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$s_i = \Phi_i \gamma_i^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad g_r = X^{\omega_r} T_{u_r^{-1}}.$$

Then, amazingly, the s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n and the g_r generate a copy of \tilde{W} inside ${}_X H_Y$.

The graded toroidal Hecke algebra ${}_X H_y$

The graded toroidal Hecke algebra ${}_X H_y$ is the algebra generated by

$$\mathbb{C}[\tilde{W}] \quad \text{and} \quad y_1, \dots, y_n$$

with relations

$$\begin{aligned} s_j y_\lambda - y_{s_j \lambda} s_j &= -c_{\alpha_j} \langle \lambda, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n, \\ g_r y_\lambda &= y_{g_r \lambda} g_r, \quad \text{for } r \in P/Q. \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \lambda, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle = -\langle \lambda, \phi^\vee \rangle$, for $\lambda \in P$. If we set

$$q = e^\xi, \quad Y^\lambda = e^{-\xi y_\lambda},$$

then ${}_X H_y$ is the $\xi \rightarrow 0$ limit of ${}_X H_Y$ and

$$y_{\lambda+j\delta} = j + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle y_i,$$

The graded graded toroidal Hecke algebra ${}_x H_y$

The *graded graded toroidal Hecke algebra* is the algebra generated by \mathfrak{h} , \mathfrak{h}^* , and W , with relations

$$\begin{aligned} wx &= w(x)w, \quad wy = w(y)w, \quad x_1 x_2 = x_2 x_1, \quad y_1 y_2 = y_2 y_1, \\ yx - xy &= \langle y, x, \rangle - \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} c_\alpha \langle y, \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha^\vee, x \rangle s_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

for $x_1, x_2, x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $y_1, y_2, y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $w \in W$. If

$$X^\lambda = e^{s x_\lambda} \quad \text{then} \quad {}_x H_y = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} {}_X H_Y.$$

If we set

$$q = e^h, \quad Y^\lambda = e^{-\sqrt{h} y_\lambda}, \quad \text{and} \quad X^\lambda = e^{\sqrt{h} x_\lambda},$$

then

$${}_x H_y = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} {}_X H_Y.$$

The polynomial representation

Let

$$\alpha_0 = -\phi + \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_c = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} c_\alpha \alpha.$$

Let

H_Y be the subalgebra of H generated by T_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, and Y^λ , $\lambda \in P$.

There is a one dimensional H_Y module $\mathbf{1}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}: \quad H_Y &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ T_i &\longmapsto t_i^{1/2} \\ Y^{\omega_i} &\longmapsto t_i^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Then the induced module ${}_X H_Y \otimes_{H_Y} \mathbf{1}$ is the vector space $\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{X^\lambda \mid \lambda \in P\}$ with H -action given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_i &= t_i^{1/2} s_i + (t_i^{1/2} - t_i^{-1/2}) \frac{1}{X^{\alpha_i} - 1} (s_i - 1), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n, \\ T_0 &= t_0^{1/2} s_0 + (t_0^{1/2} - t_0^{-1/2}) \frac{1}{qX^{-\phi} - 1} (s_0 - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$s_0(X^\lambda) = X^{\lambda - \langle \lambda, \phi^\vee \rangle \phi} q^{\langle \lambda, \phi \rangle},$$

for $\lambda \in P$. The *trigonometric difference Dunkl operators* are the

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^\lambda: \quad \mathbb{C}[X] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X] \\ f &\longrightarrow Y^\lambda f, \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P.$$

Define operators $\partial_\lambda: \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$, $\lambda \in P$, by

$$\partial_\lambda(X^\mu) = \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle X^\mu, \quad \text{for } \mu \in P.$$

Then $w(\partial_\lambda) = \partial_{w\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in P$ and $w \in W$ and the operator $\mathcal{D}_\lambda: \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$ given by

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \Theta^\lambda = \partial_\lambda + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{c_\alpha \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{1 - X^{-\alpha}} (1 - s_\alpha) - \langle \lambda, \rho_c \rangle$$

is the limit of $\Theta^\lambda: \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$ at $h \rightarrow 0$.

Define operators $d_\mu: \mathbb{C}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]$ by

$$d_\mu(x_\lambda) = \langle \mu, \lambda \rangle x_\lambda, \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*,$$

so that

$$d_\mu = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s \partial_\mu \quad \text{under the substitution } X^\lambda = e^{sx_\lambda}.$$

Then

$$D_\lambda = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} sD_\lambda = d_\lambda + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{c_\alpha \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{x_\alpha} (1 - s_\alpha) \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$D_\lambda = \frac{1}{s} D_\lambda - \langle \rho_c, \lambda \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} c_\alpha \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \frac{B_m}{m!} (-sx_\alpha)^m (1 - s_\alpha), \quad (1.2)$$

where B_m are the Bernoulli numbers defined by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \frac{B_m}{m!}.$$

2. Graded toroidal Hecke algebras

Let W be a real reflection group with reflection representation \mathfrak{h} . Let R^+ be the set of reflections in W . Let \hat{W} be an index set for the irreducible W -modules and let W^λ denote the simple W -module indexed by $\lambda \in \hat{W}$. Fix a W -invariant function

$$\begin{aligned} c: R^+ &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \alpha &\longmapsto c_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

The *Casimir element* of $\mathbb{C}W$ is

$$\kappa_c = \sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha (1 - s_\alpha) \in Z(\mathbb{C}W).$$

For each $\lambda \in \hat{W}$ let $\kappa_c(\lambda)$ be the constant such that

$$\kappa_c \text{ acts as } \kappa_c(\lambda) \cdot \text{Id on } W^\lambda.$$

The *toroidal Hecke algebra* \mathbb{H}_c is the algebra generated by \mathfrak{h} , \mathfrak{h}^* , and W , with relations

$$\begin{aligned} wx &= w(x)w, & wy &= w(y)w, & x_1x_2 &= x_2x_1, & y_1y_2 &= y_2y_1, \\ yx - xy &= \langle y, x, \rangle - \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} c_\alpha \langle y, \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha^\vee, x \rangle s_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

for $x_1, x_2, x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $y_1, y_2, y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $w \in W$. The ‘‘PBW’’-theorem for \mathbb{H}_c is that, as vector spaces,

$$\mathbb{H}_c = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \mathbb{C}W \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*], \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] &= (\text{the subalgebra generated by } x \in \mathfrak{h}^*) & \text{and} \\ \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] &= (\text{the subalgebra generated by } y \in \mathfrak{h}). \end{aligned}$$

The principal \mathfrak{sl}_2

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_i\} &\text{ be a basis of } \mathfrak{h}^*, \\ \{y_i\} &\text{ be the basis of } \mathfrak{h} \text{ dual to } \{x_i\}, \\ \{x_i^*\} &\text{ be the basis of } \mathfrak{h}^* \text{ dual to } \{x_i\} \text{ with respect to } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \\ \{x_i\} &\text{ be the basis of } \mathfrak{h} \text{ dual to } \{y_i\} \text{ with respect to } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

Then the elements

$$e = \sum_i x_i x_i^*, \quad f = \sum_i y_i y_i^*, \quad h = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i x_i y_i + y_i x_i,$$

form an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in \mathbb{H}_c .

The spherical Hecke algebra

Let

$$\mathbf{1} = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} w \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \det(w)w,$$

be the minimal idempotents in $\mathbb{C}W$ corresponding to the trivial and the det representations, respectively.

The *spherical Hecke algebra* is $\mathbf{1}\mathbb{H}_c\mathbf{1}$ and the *det-spherical Hecke algebra* is $\epsilon\mathbb{H}_c\epsilon$. Since $\mathbb{H}_c\epsilon$ is an $(\mathbb{H}_c, \epsilon\mathbb{H}_c\epsilon)$ there are functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}_c\text{-mod} & \longrightarrow & \epsilon\mathbb{H}_c\epsilon\text{-mod} \\ M & \longmapsto & \epsilon M \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \epsilon\mathbb{H}_c\epsilon\text{-mod} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}_c\text{-mod} \\ N & \longmapsto & \mathbb{H}_c\epsilon \otimes_{\epsilon\mathbb{H}_c\epsilon} N \end{array}$$

Let

$$\theta_c: \mathbf{1}\mathbb{H}_{c-1}\mathbf{1} \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_c^-: \epsilon\mathbb{H}_c\epsilon \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}])$$

be the *spherical* and the *antispherical* Harish-Chandra isomorphisms, respectively. (Should the constant function 1 be called ρ here???? or ρ^\vee ???)

Theorem 2.1. (Shift isomorphism) *The map*

$$\Theta_c^{-1} \circ \Theta_c^-: \epsilon\mathbb{H}_c\epsilon \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}\mathbb{H}_{c-1}\mathbf{1}$$

is a well defined algebra isomorphism.

The category \mathcal{O}_c

The category \mathcal{O}_c is the category of \mathbb{H}_c -modules M such that

- (a) For each $m \in M$, $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]m$ is finite dimensional,
- (b) If $p \in \mathbb{C}[fh^*]^W$ then $p - p(0)$ acts locally nilpotently on M (What??? does this mean???)

Let \hat{W} be a index set for the irreducible W -modules and let W^λ denote the simple W -module indexed by $\lambda \in \hat{W}$. The *standard modules* are

$$M_c(\lambda) = \mathbb{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \otimes \mathbb{C}W} W^\lambda, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \hat{W},$$

where the $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \otimes \mathbb{C}W$ action on W^λ is given by

$$pw \cdot m = p(0)wm, \quad \text{for } p \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*], w \in W, m \in W^\lambda.$$

Theorem 2.2. (Berest-Etingof-Ginzburg)

- (a) $M_c(\lambda)$ has a unique simple quotient $L_c(\lambda)$.

- (b) $\{L_c(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \hat{W}\}$ are the simple objects in \mathcal{O}_c .
(c) Every object in \mathcal{O}_c has finite length.

2. Gordon's results

By an old theorem of Steinberg?? (exercise in Bourbaki) the $\wedge^i \mathfrak{h}$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ are nonisomorphic irreducible representations of W . As a $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \mathbb{C}W$ -module

$$M_c(\wedge^i \mathfrak{h}) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \wedge^i \mathfrak{h}.$$

The graded W -character of $M_c(\wedge^i \mathfrak{h})$ (with respect to which grading??? there are two that coming from h -eigenspaces and the other coming from \mathbb{H}_c as a deformation of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*] * W$, how do these two gradings relate, I'm totally confused???) is

$$\text{ch}(M_c(\wedge^i \mathfrak{h}); w, t) = \frac{t^{\kappa_c(\wedge^i \mathfrak{h})} \text{ch}(\wedge^i \mathfrak{h}, t)}{\det(1 - tw)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and

$$\text{assume } c = \frac{1 + mh}{h}, \quad \text{where } h = \frac{2\text{Card}(R^+)}{\dim(\mathfrak{h})},$$

is the Coxeter number. Then

- (a) If $\lambda \neq \mu$ and there is a nonzero \mathbb{H}_c -module homomorphism

$$M_c(\lambda) \rightarrow M_c(\mu) \quad \text{then } \lambda = \wedge^i \mathfrak{h} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \wedge^j \mathfrak{h},$$

for some i and j .

- (b) If $M_c(\lambda)$ is not simple then $W^\lambda \cong \wedge^i \mathfrak{h}$ for some $0 \leq i \leq n$,
(c) If $L_c(\lambda)$ is finite dimensional then $W^\lambda \cong \wedge^i \mathfrak{h}$ for some $0 \leq i \leq n$,
(d) $[M_c(\wedge^i \mathfrak{h}) : L_c(\wedge^j \mathfrak{h})] = [\epsilon M_c(\wedge^i \mathfrak{h}) : \epsilon L_c(\wedge^j \mathfrak{h})]$,
(e) the Hilbert series of $M_c(\wedge^i \mathfrak{h})$ with respect to the h -eigenspaces is

$$P(\epsilon M_c(\wedge^i \mathfrak{h}), t) = \frac{t^{-m|R^+| + i(mh+1)}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t^{d_j})} e_{n-i}(t^{e_1}, \dots, t^{e_n}),$$

where d_1, \dots, d_n are the degrees of W , $e_i = d_i - 1$ are the exponents of W and $e_r(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the r th elementary symmetric function.

- (f) $\epsilon L_c(\wedge^i \mathfrak{h}) \neq 0$,

Now

$$\text{assume } c = \frac{1 + h}{h}.$$

Then

- (a) there is a 1-dimensional $\epsilon \mathbb{H}_c \epsilon$ -module ϵ_1 ,
(b) $L_c(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{h}) = \mathbb{H}_c \epsilon \otimes_{\epsilon \mathbb{H}_c \epsilon} \epsilon_1$,

$$(c) L_c(\wedge^9 \mathfrak{h}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i M_c(\wedge^i \mathfrak{h}).$$

(d) The Hilbert series of $L_c(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{h})$ is

$$P(L_c(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{h}); t) = t^{-|R^+|} (1 + t + t^2 + \cdots + t^h)^n.$$

(e) The graded character of $L_c(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{h})$ is

$$\text{ch}(L_c(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{h}); w, t) = t^{-|R^+|} \frac{\det(1 - t^{h+1} w)}{\det(1 - tw)},$$

and, by putting $t = 1$, the W -character of $L_c(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{h})$ is

$$\text{ch}(L_c(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{h}); w) = h^{\dim \ker(1-w)},$$

and thus, by comparison with Sommers [ref??],

$$L_c(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{h}) \cong \frac{Q}{(h+1)Q}, \quad \text{as } W\text{-modules,}$$

when W is a Weyl group and Q is the root lattice of W .

The connection to diagonal harmonics

The filtration on \mathbb{H}_c given by

$$\deg(x) = 1, \quad \deg(y) = 1, \quad \deg(w) = 0, \quad x \in \mathfrak{h}^*, y \in \mathfrak{h}, w \in W,$$

has associated graded

$$\text{gr}(\mathbb{H}_c) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*] * W,$$

the "semidirect" product of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*]$ and $\mathbb{C}W$. Let

$$c = \frac{1+h}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad L = L_c(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{h}) = \mathbb{H}_c \epsilon \otimes_{\epsilon \mathbb{H}_c \epsilon} \epsilon_1.$$

Then the surjection

$$(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*] * W) \epsilon \otimes_{\epsilon(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*] * W) \epsilon} \epsilon_1 \longrightarrow \text{gr}(L)$$

and the graded $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*] * W$ isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*] \otimes \epsilon &\xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*] * W) \epsilon = \text{gr}(\mathbb{H}_c \epsilon), & \text{and} \\ \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*]^W \epsilon &\xrightarrow{\sim} \epsilon(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*] * W) \epsilon = \text{gr}(\epsilon \mathbb{H}_c \epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

provide a graded surjection

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*]}{\langle \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*]^W \rangle} \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*]^W} \epsilon_1 \longrightarrow \text{gr}(L) \otimes \epsilon.$$

The KZ-connection

There is an injective algebra homomorphism

$$\mathbb{H}_c \longrightarrow D(\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}W$$

and a corresponding *localization functor*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}_c\text{-mod} & \longrightarrow & \{W\text{-equivariant } D\text{-modules on } \mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}\} \\ M & \longmapsto & M|_{\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}} \end{array}$$

The *KZ-connection with values in* W^λ is the flat connection on

$$M_c(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}} = (\text{trivial vector bundle } \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}] \otimes W^\lambda).$$

The corresponding monodromy representation (in a fiber over a point in $\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}/W$), $Mon_c(\lambda)$, of the braid group, $\pi_1(\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}/W)$, factors through the Hecke algebra $H_W(e^{2\pi ic})$.

6. References

- [Go] I. GORDON, *On the quotient ring by diagonal invariants*, Invent. Math. ?? (2003), ???-???
- [Mac] I.G. MACDONALD, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Second edition, Oxford University Press, 1995.