

# Algebras with Kazhdan-Lusztig theories

Arun Ram  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, WI 53706  
ram@math.wisc.edu

February 17, 2005

## 1 Convolution algebras

### 1.1 Cellular algebras

A *cellular algebra* is an algebra  $A$  with

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{a basis} & \{a_{ST}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \hat{A}, S, T \in \hat{A}^\lambda\} \\ \text{an involutive antihomomorphism} & *: A \rightarrow A, \\ \text{a partial order} & \leq \text{ on } \hat{A} \end{array} \quad \text{and}$$

such that

- (a)  $(a_{ST}^\lambda)^* = a_{TS}^\lambda$ ,
- (b) If  $A(< \lambda) = \text{span}\{a_{ST}^\mu \mid \mu < \lambda\}$

then

$$aa_{ST}^\lambda = \sum_{Q \in \hat{A}^\lambda} A^\lambda(a)_{QT} a_{QT}^\lambda \quad \text{mod } A(< \lambda), \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

Applying the involution  $*$  to (b) and using (a) gives that

$$a_{TS}^\lambda a^* = \sum_{Q \in \hat{A}^\lambda} A^\lambda(a)_{QS} a_{TQ}^\lambda \quad \text{mod } A(< \lambda), \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

### 1.2 The decomposition theorem

The concept of a cellular algebra is not really the “right” one. The “right” one comes from the structure of a convolution algebra whenever the decomposition theorem holds [CG, 8.6.9].

Let  $M$  be a smooth  $G$ -variety and let  $N$  be a  $G$ -variety with finitely many  $G$ -orbits such that the orbit decomposition is an algebraic stratification of  $N$ ,

$$N = \bigsqcup_{\varphi} Gx_{\varphi}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu: M \longrightarrow N$$

---

Research supported in part by NSF Grant ????.

is a  $G$ -equivariant projective morphism. Let  $\mathcal{C}_M$  be the constant perverse sheaf on  $M$ . The decomposition theorem [CG, 8.4.12] says that

$$\mu_*\mathcal{C}_M = \bigoplus_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \lambda = (\varphi, \chi) \in \hat{M}}} L(\lambda, i) \otimes IC^\lambda[i] \doteq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{M}} L(\lambda) \otimes IC^\lambda, \quad \text{where} \quad L(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L(\lambda, i),$$

$\mu_*$  is the derived functor of sheaf theoretic direct image,  $\lambda$  runs over the indexes of the intersection cohomology complexes  $IC^\lambda$ ,  $L(\lambda)$  are finite dimensional vector spaces, and  $\doteq$  indicates an equality up to shifts in the derived category.

Let  $x \in N$  and define

$$Z = M \times_N M = \{(m_1, m_2) \in M \times M \mid \mu(m_1) = \mu(m_2)\} \quad \text{and} \quad M_x = \mu^{-1}(x).$$

There are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z = M \times_N M & \xrightarrow{\iota} & M \times M \\ \downarrow \mu_{12} & & \downarrow \mu_1 \times \mu_2 \\ N = N_\Delta & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & N \times N \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_x & \xrightarrow{\iota} & M \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \mu \\ \{x\} & \xrightarrow{i_x} & N \end{array}$$

which (via base change) provide isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(Z) &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(Z_{12})}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_{12}}, (\mathbb{C}_{Z_{12}}[*])^\vee) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(Z_{12})}(\mu_{12}^* \mathbb{C}_N, \iota^! \mathcal{C}_{M_1 \times M_2}[m_1 + m_2][-*]) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(\mathbb{C}_N, (\mu_{12})_* \iota^! \mathcal{C}_{M_1 \times M_2}[m_1 + m_2 - *]) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(\mathbb{C}_N, \Delta^!((\mu_1 \times \mu_2)_*(\mathcal{C}_{M_1} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_{M_2}))[m_1 + m_2 - *]) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(\mathbb{C}_N, \Delta^!((\mu_1)_* \mathcal{C}_{M_1} \boxtimes (\mu_2)_* \mathcal{C}_{M_2}))[m_1 + m_2 - *]) \\ &= \text{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^{m_1 + m_2 - *}((\mu_1)_* \mathcal{C}_{M_1}, (\mu_2)_* \mathcal{C}_{M_2}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(M_x) &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(M_x)}(\mathbb{C}_{M_x}, (\mathbb{C}_{M_x}[*])^\vee) = \text{Hom}_{D^b(M_x)}(\mu^* \mathbb{C}_{\{x\}}, ((\iota^* \mathcal{C}_M)[*])^\vee) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(\{x\})}(\mathbb{C}_{\{x\}}, \mu_* (\iota^! \mathcal{C}_M[2m])[-*]) = \text{Hom}_{D^b(\{x\})}(\mathbb{C}_{\{x\}}, i_x^! \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M[m - *]) \\ &= H^{m-*}(i_x^! \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(M_x) &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(M_x)}(\mathbb{C}_{M_x}, \mathbb{C}_{M_x}[*]) = \text{Hom}_{D^b(M_x)}(\mu^* \mathbb{C}_{\{x\}}, \mathbb{C}_{M_x}[*]) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(\{x\})}(\mathbb{C}_{\{x\}}, \mu_* \mathbb{C}_{M_x}[*]) = \text{Hom}_{D^b(\{x\})}(\mathbb{C}_{\{x\}}, \mu^! \iota^* \mathcal{C}_M[*]) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(\{x\})}(\mathbb{C}_{\{x\}}, i_x^* \mu^! \mathcal{C}_M[*]) = \text{Hom}_{D^b(\{x\})}(\mathbb{C}_{\{x\}}, i_x^* \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M[* - m]) \\ &= H^{*-m}(i_x^* \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M). \end{aligned}$$

### 1.3 Convolution algebras

Let  $\mu: M \rightarrow N$  be a proper map. The *convolution algebra* is

$$A = \text{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^*(\mu_* \mathcal{C}_M, \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ext}^k(\mu_* \mathcal{C}_M, \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M),$$

where

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(X)}^k(A, B) = \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(A, B[k]),$$

with product given by the *Yoneda product*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^p(A_1, A_2) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^q(A_2, A_3) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^{p+q}(A_1, A_3)$$

which arises from the composition map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_1, A_2[p]) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_2[p], A_3[p+q]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_1, A_3[p+q])$$

and the identification

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_2, A_3[q]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_2[p], A_3[p+q]).$$

Then the decomposition theorem for  $\mu_*\mathcal{C}_M$  induces a decomposition of  $A$ . Since the intersection cohomology complexes  $IC_\phi$  are the simple objects in the category of perverse sheaves,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^0(IC^\lambda, IC^\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}\mathbb{C}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^k(IC^\lambda, IC^\mu) = 0, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0},$$

and the decomposition of  $A$  simplifies to

$$A = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{M}} \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(L(\lambda)) \bigoplus \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \hat{M}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^k(IC^\lambda, IC^\mu) \right) \right).$$

In this context there is a good theory of projective, standard and simple modules, and their decomposition matrices satisfy a BGG reciprocity. View elements of  $A$  as sums

$$\sum_{\lambda, \mu} \sum_{P \in \hat{L}(\lambda), Q \in \hat{L}(\mu)} c_{PQ}^{\lambda\mu} a_{PQ}^{\lambda\mu} \quad \text{where} \quad c_{PQ}^{\lambda\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{and} \quad a_{PQ}^{\lambda\mu} \in \bigoplus_{k > 0} \mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^k(IC^\lambda, IC^\mu).$$

The algebra  $A$  is completely controlled by the multiplication in

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*(IC, IC) \quad \text{where} \quad IC = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{M}} IC^\lambda.$$

an algebra which has all one dimensional simple modules. The radical of  $A$  is

$$\mathrm{Rad}(A) = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \hat{M}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^k(IC^\lambda, IC^\mu) \right)$$

and the nonzero

$$L(\lambda) \text{ are the simple } A\text{-modules.}$$

## 1.4 Projective modules

Let  $e^\lambda$  be a minimal idempotent in  $\bigoplus_{\mu} \mathrm{End}(L(\mu))$ . Then

$$P(\lambda) = Ae^\lambda = L(\lambda) \bigoplus \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{k > 0 \\ \mu}} L(\mu) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^k(IC^\mu, IC^\lambda) \right)$$

is the projective cover of the simple  $A$ -module  $L(\lambda)$ . Define an  $A$ -module filtration

$$P(\lambda) \supseteq P(\lambda)^{(1)} \supseteq P(\lambda)^{(2)} \supseteq \dots$$

by

$$P(\lambda)^{(m)} = \bigoplus_{\substack{k \geq m \\ \mu}} L(\mu) \otimes \text{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^k(IC^\mu, IC^\lambda).$$

Then

$$L(\lambda) = P(\lambda)/P(\lambda)^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{gr}(P(\lambda)) \quad \text{is a semisimple } A\text{-module.}$$

Thus the multiplicity of the simple  $A$ -module  $L(\mu)$  in a composition series of  $P(\lambda)$  is

$$[P(\lambda) : L(\mu)] = \dim(\text{Ext}^*(IC_{\mathbb{O}, \chi}, IC_{\mathbb{O}', \chi'})) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim(\text{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^k(IC^\mu, IC^\lambda)).$$

## 1.5 Standard and costandard modules

Let  $\lambda = (\varphi, \chi)$ ,

$$x \in \mathbb{O}^\varphi, \quad \text{and let} \quad i_x: \{x\} \hookrightarrow N \quad \text{be the injection.}$$

Then  $i_x^! \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M$  is the *stalk* of  $\mu_* \mathcal{C}_M$  at  $x$  and the Yoneda product makes

$$\Delta^\varphi = H^*(i_x^! \mathcal{C}_M) = \text{Hom}_{D^b(\{x\})}(\mathbb{C}, i_x^! \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M[*]) = \text{Hom}_{D^b(N)}((i_x)_! \mathbb{C}[-*], \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\nabla^\varphi = H^*(i_x^* \mathcal{C}_M) = H^*(\{x\}, i_x^* \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M) = \text{Hom}_{D^b(\{x\})}(\mathbb{D}, i_x^! \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M[*]) = \text{Hom}_{D^b(N)}((i_x)_! \mathbb{C}[-*], \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M),$$

into right  $A$ -modules. The action of an element  $a \in \text{Ext}^k(\mu_* \mathcal{C}_M, \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M) = \text{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(\mu_* \mathcal{C}_M, \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M[k])$  sends

$$H^*(\{x\}, i_x^! \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M) \longrightarrow H^{*+k}(\{x\}, i_x^! \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M).$$

A  $G$ -equivariant *local system* is a  $G$ -equivariant locally constant sheaf. The orbit  $\mathbb{O}^\varphi$  can be identified with  $G/G_x$  where  $G_x$  is the stabilizer of  $x$ .  $\pi_0(\mathbb{O}^\varphi, x) = G_x/G_x^\circ$  where  $G_x^\circ$  is the connected component of the identity in  $G_x$ . There is a homomorphism  $\pi_1(\mathbb{O}^\varphi, x) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathbb{O}^\varphi, x) = G_x/G_x^\circ$  and the representations of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{O}^\varphi, x)$  on the fibers  $\mathcal{L}_x$  of  $G$ -equivariant local systems  $\mathcal{L}$  are exactly the pullbacks of finite dimensional representations of  $C = G_x/G_x^\circ$  to  $\pi_1(\mathbb{O}^\varphi, x)$ . In this way the irreducible  $G$ -equivariant local systems on  $\mathbb{O}^\varphi$  can be indexed by (some of the) irreducible representations of  $G_x/G_x^\circ$  [CG, Lemma 8.4.11]. There is an action of  $C = G_x/G_x^\circ$  on  $\Delta^\varphi$  which commutes with the action of  $A$ . Similar arguments apply to  $\nabla^\varphi$ . As  $(A, C)$  bimodules,

$$\Delta^\varphi = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{C}} \Delta(\varphi, \chi) \otimes \chi \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^\varphi = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{C}} \nabla(\varphi, \chi) \otimes \chi,$$

and the *standard* and *costandard*  $A$ -modules are

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \Delta(\varphi, \chi) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla(\lambda) = \nabla(\varphi, \chi).$$

Using the decomposition theorem

$$\Delta(\lambda) = H^*(i_x^! \mathcal{C}_M)_\chi = \bigoplus_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \mu}} L(\mu) \otimes H^k(i_x^! IC^\mu)_\chi,$$

where the subscript  $\chi$  denotes the  $\chi$ -isotypic component. Define a filtration

$$\Delta(\lambda) \supseteq \Delta(\lambda)^{(1)} \supseteq \Delta(\lambda)^{(2)} \supseteq \dots \quad \text{by} \quad \Delta(\lambda)^{(m)} = \bigoplus_{j \geq m} \bigoplus_{\phi} L(\mu) \otimes H^j(i_x^! IC^\mu)_\chi.$$

Then  $\Delta(\lambda)^{(m)}$  is an  $A$ -module and  $\text{gr}(\Delta(\lambda))$  is a semisimple  $A$ -module. This (and a similar argument for  $\nabla(\lambda)$ ) show that the multiplicity of the simple  $A$ -module  $L(\mu)$  in composition series of  $\Delta(\lambda)$  and  $\nabla(\lambda)$  are

$$[\Delta(\lambda) : L(\mu)] = \sum_k \dim(H^k(i_x^! IC^\mu)_\chi) \quad \text{and} \quad [\nabla(\lambda) : L(\mu)] = \sum_k \dim(H^k(i_x^* IC^\mu)_\chi).$$

Define the *standard KL-polynomial* and the *costandard KL-polynomial* of  $A$  to be

$$P_{\lambda\mu}^\Delta(\mathfrak{t}) = \sum_k \mathfrak{t}^k \dim(H^k(i_x^! IC^\mu)_\chi) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\lambda\mu}^\nabla(\mathfrak{t}) = \sum_k \mathfrak{t}^k \dim(H^k(i_x^* IC^\mu)_\chi),$$

respectively. Then ??? says that

$$[\Delta(\lambda) : L(\mu)] = P_{\lambda\mu}^\Delta(1) \quad \text{and} \quad [\nabla(\lambda) : L(\mu)] = P_{\lambda\mu}^*(1).$$

These identities are analogues of the original Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture describing the multiplicities of simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules in Verma modules.

## 1.6 The contravariant form

Note that there is a canonical homomorphism

$$\Delta(\lambda) \xrightarrow{c_\lambda} \nabla(\lambda)$$

coming from applying the functor  $H^*$  to the composition

$$(i_x)!(i_x)^! \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M \longrightarrow \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M \longrightarrow (i_x)_*(i_x)^* \mu_* \mathcal{C}_M,$$

where the two maps arise from the canonical adjoint functor maps. Use the map  $c_\lambda$  to define a bilinear form on  $\Delta(\lambda)$  by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta(\lambda) \otimes \Delta(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ m_1 \otimes m_2 & \longmapsto & m_1 \cap c_\lambda(m_2) \end{array}$$

Then

$$L(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda) / \text{Rad}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle).$$

## 1.7 Contragredient modules

There is an involutive antiautomorphism  ${}^t: A \rightarrow A$  on  $A$  (coming from switching the two factors in  $Z = M \times_N M$ ). If  $M$  is an  $A$ -module the *contragredient* module is

$$M^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{with} \quad (a\psi)(m) = \psi(a^t(m)), \quad \text{for } a \in A, \psi \in M^*, \text{ and } m \in M.$$

Then

$$\nabla(\lambda) \cong \Delta(\lambda)^*.$$

## 1.8 Reciprocity

If  $\lambda = (\varphi, \rho)$  define

$$d_\lambda = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{O}^\varphi), \quad \text{and assume that} \quad \text{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^{d_\psi+d_\varphi+k}(IC^\varphi, IC^\psi) = 0, \quad \text{for all odd } k.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [P(\lambda) : L(\mu)] &= \sum_k \dim \text{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^k(IC^\lambda, IC^\mu) \\ &= \sum_k \dim \text{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^{d_\lambda+d_\mu+k}(IC^\lambda, IC^\mu) \\ &= \sum_k (-1)^k \dim \text{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^{d_\lambda+d_\mu+k}(IC^\lambda, IC^\mu) \\ &= (-1)^{d_\phi+d_\psi} \sum_{\mathbb{O}} \chi(\mathbb{O}, i_{\mathbb{O}}^! IC_\phi^\vee \overset{!}{\otimes} i_{\mathbb{O}}^! IC_\psi) \\ &= (-1)^{d_\phi+d_\psi} \sum_{\mathbb{O}} \chi \left( \mathbb{O}, (-1)^{d_\phi} \sum_{\alpha, k} [\mathcal{H}^k i_{\mathbb{O}}^!(IC_\phi^\vee) : \alpha] \alpha \overset{!}{\otimes} (-1)^{d_\psi} \sum_{\beta, \ell} [\mathcal{H}^\ell i_{\mathbb{O}}^!(IC_\psi) : \beta] \beta \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbb{O}, \alpha, \beta} \chi \left( \mathbb{O}, \sum_k [\mathcal{H}^k i_{\mathbb{O}}^!(IC_\phi) : \alpha^*] \alpha \overset{!}{\otimes} \sum_\ell [\mathcal{H}^\ell i_{\mathbb{O}}^!(IC_\psi) : \beta] \beta \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_k \dim \mathcal{H}^k(i_\alpha^! IC_\phi) \left( \sum_{\mathbb{O}} \chi(\mathbb{O}, \alpha^* \overset{!}{\otimes} \beta) \right) \sum_\ell \dim \mathcal{H}^\ell(i_\beta^! IC_\psi) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} [\mathcal{M}_\alpha^! : L_\phi] \left( \sum_{\mathbb{O}} \chi(\mathbb{O}, \alpha^* \otimes \beta) \right) [\mathcal{M}_\beta^! : L_\psi] \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} P_{\phi\alpha}(1) D_{\alpha\beta} P_{\psi\beta}(1) \\ &= (PDP^t)_{\phi\psi}, \end{aligned}$$

where

- (1) the third equality follows from the vanishing of Ext groups in odd degrees,
- (2)  $\chi$  denotes the Euler characteristic,
- (3)  $P$  is the matrix  $(P_{\phi\alpha}(1))$ , and
- (4)  $D$  is the matrix  $(\sum_{\mathbb{O}} \chi(\mathbb{O}, \alpha^* \otimes \beta))$ .

This identity is the ‘‘BGG reciprocity’’ for the algebra  $A$ .

## 1.9 The category $D^b(N)$

The category  $Comp^b(Sh(N))$  is the category of all finite complexes

$$A = (0 \rightarrow A^{-m} \rightarrow A^{-m+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A^{n-1} \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow 0), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0},$$

of sheaves on  $N$  with morphisms being morphisms of complexes which commute with the differentials. The  $j$ th cohomology sheaf of  $A$  is

$$\mathcal{H}^j(A) = \frac{\ker(A^j \rightarrow A^{j+1})}{\operatorname{im}(A^{j-1} \rightarrow A^j)}.$$

A morphism in  $\operatorname{Comp}^b(\mathcal{S}h(N))$  is a *quasi-isomorphism* if it induces isomorphisms on cohomology. The category  $D^b(\mathcal{S}h(N))$  is the category  $\operatorname{Comp}^b(\mathcal{S}h(N))$  with additional morphisms obtained by formally inverting all quasi-isomorphisms.

Assume that  $N$  is a  $G$ -variety with a finite number of orbits such that the  $G$ -orbit decomposition

$$N = \bigsqcup_{\varphi} \mathbb{O}^{\varphi} \quad \text{is an algebraic stratification of } X.$$

A *constructible sheaf* is a sheaf that is locally constant on strata of  $N$ . A *constructible complex* is a complex such that all of its cohomology sheaves are constructible.

The *derived category of bounded constructible complexes of sheaves* on  $N$  is the full subcategory  $D^b(N)$  of  $D^b(\mathcal{S}h(N))$  consisting of constructible complexes. *Full* means that the morphisms in  $D^b(N)$  are the same as those in  $D^b(\mathcal{S}h(N))$ .

The *shift functor*  $[i]: D^b(N) \rightarrow D^b(N)$  is the functor that shifts all complexes by  $i$ .

The *Verdier duality functor*  ${}^{\vee}: D^b(N) \rightarrow D^b(N)$  is defined by requiring

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_1, A_2[i]) = \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(\Delta^*(A_1 \boxtimes A_2^{\vee})[-i], \mathbb{C}_N[2\dim_{\mathbb{C}}N]), \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ where}$$

$\Delta: N \rightarrow N \times N$  is the diagonal map.

The Verdier duality functor satisfies the properties

$$(A^{\vee})^{\vee} = A, \quad (A[i])^{\vee} = A^{\vee}[-i], \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_1, A_2) = \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_2^{\vee}, A_1^{\vee}).$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}_{D^b(X)}^k(A_1, A_2) &= \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(A_1, A_2[k]), \\ H^k(A) &= H^k(X, A) = \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(\mathbb{C}_X, A[k]), && \text{the hypercohomology of } A \in D^b(N), \\ H^k(N) &= \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(\mathbb{C}_N, \mathbb{C}_N[k]), && \text{the cohomology of } N, \\ H_k(N) &= \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(\mathbb{C}_N, (\mathbb{C}_N[k])^{\vee}), && \text{the Borel-Moore homology of } N, \\ \mathbb{D}_X &= \mathbb{C}_X^{\vee}, && \text{the dualizing complex,} \end{aligned}$$

respectively. The *Yoneda product*

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^p(A_1, A_2) \times \operatorname{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^q(A_2, A_3) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^{p+q}(A_1, A_3)$$

is given by

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_1, A_2[p]) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_2[p], A_3[p+q]) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_1, A_3[p+q]),$$

using the canonical identification  $\operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_2, A_3[q]) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(N)}(A_2[p], A_3[p+q])$ .

If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism define

$$\begin{aligned} f_* &= \text{derived functor of sheaf theoretic direct image,} \\ f^* &= \text{derived functor of sheaf theoretic inverse image,} \end{aligned}$$

$$f^!A = (f^*A^\vee)^\vee, \text{ for } A \in D^b(Y), \quad \text{and} \quad f_!A = (f_*A^\vee)^\vee, \text{ for } A \in D^b(X).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(f^*A_1, A_2) &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(Y)}(A_1, f_*A_2), & \text{and} \\ \text{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(A_2, f^!A_1) &= \text{Hom}_{D^b(Y)}(f_!A_2, A_1). \end{aligned}$$

If  $f: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  define The *base change formula* is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_Z Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & Y \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \end{array} \quad g^!f_*A = (\pi_2)_*\pi_1^!A, \quad \text{for } A \in D^b(X),$$

where  $X \times_Z Y = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid f(x) = g(y)\}$ .

The category of *perverse sheaves* on  $X$  is a full subcategory of  $D^b(X)$  which is abelian. The simple objects in the category of perverse sheaves are the *intersection cohomology complexes*

$$IC_\phi \quad \text{indexed by pairs} \quad \phi = (\mathbb{O}, \chi),$$

where  $\mathbb{O}$  is a  $G$ -orbit on  $X$  and  $\chi$  is an irreducible local system on  $X$ . By ???, the local systems  $\chi$  on  $\mathbb{O}$  can be identified with (some of the) representations of the *component group*  $Z_G(x)/Z_G(x)^\circ$  where  $x$  is a point in  $\mathbb{O}$ . If  $X$  is smooth the *constant perverse sheaf*  $\mathcal{C}_X$  on  $X$  is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_X|_{X_i} = \mathbb{C}_{X_i}[\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_i],$$

on the irreducible components of  $X$ . Since the intersection cohomology complexes  $IC_\phi$  are the simple objects of the category of perverse sheaves,

$$\text{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^0(IC_\phi, IC_\psi) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \delta_{\phi\psi} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ext}_{D^b(N)}^k(IC_\phi, IC_\psi) = 0, \quad \text{if } k > 0.$$

## References

[Dr1] .G. Drinfel'd, *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** No, 2 (1998), 212–216.