

Representation theory 01. 04. 2009

(1)

Tensor product of matrices

If $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{r1} & \cdots & a_{rr} \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1s} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{s1} & \cdots & b_{ss} \end{pmatrix}$

action on $M = \text{span}\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$ and

$N = \text{span}\{n_1, \dots, n_s\}$ then $M \otimes N$ has basis

$$m_1 \otimes n_1, \dots, m_1 \otimes n_s, m_2 \otimes n_1, \dots, m_2 \otimes n_s, \dots, m_r \otimes n_1, \dots, m_r \otimes n_s$$

and if

$$(A \otimes B)(m_i \otimes n_j) = (Am_i \otimes Bn_j) \quad \text{then}$$

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1r}B \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ a_{r1}B & \cdots & \cdots & a_{rr}B \end{pmatrix}$$

The quantum group $U_q S^L_n$ is generated by $E, F, K^{\pm 1}$ with relations

$$KEK^{-1} = q^2 E, \quad KFK^{-1} = q^{-2} F$$

$$EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

and coproduct $\Delta: U \rightarrow U \otimes U$ given by

$$\Delta(E) = E \otimes K + 1 \otimes E,$$

$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K.$$

$$\Delta(F) = F \otimes 1 + K^{-1} \otimes F,$$

(2)

For U_{qSL} :

$L(\Delta) = \text{span} \{ v_i, v_{-i} \}$ with

$$E \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad F \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad K \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$L(\Delta_{k \text{ boxes}}) = \text{span} \{ v_k, v_{k-2}, \dots, v_{-(k-2)}, v_{-k} \}$ with

$$E \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0^{[k]} & & & & \\ & 0^{[k-1]} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0^{[1]} & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad F \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & [2] & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & [k] & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$K \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} q^k & & & & \\ & q^{k-2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & q^{-(k-2)} & \\ & & & & q^{-k} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } [k] = \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

The quantum spin chain is

$$L(\Delta)^{\otimes k} = \text{span} \{ v_{e_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{e_k} \mid e_j \in \{ \pm 1 \} \}$$

and U_{qSL} acts on $L(\Delta)^{\otimes k}$

For example

$$E(v_i \otimes v_i) = 0$$

$$F(v_i \otimes v_i) = v_{-i} \otimes v_i + q^{-1} v_i \otimes v_{-i}$$

$$F^2(v_i \otimes v_i) = 0 + q v_{-i} \otimes v_i + q^{-1} v_i \otimes v_{-i} + 0 = [2] v_i \otimes v_{-i}$$

$$F^3(v_i \otimes v_i) = 0$$

and

$$E(v_{-i} \otimes v_i - q v_i \otimes v_{-i}) = F(v_{-i} \otimes v_i - q v_i \otimes v_{-i}) = 0.$$

Define an action of $T\mathbb{L}_2 = \text{span}\{11, \tilde{\gamma}\}$ on $L(\Delta)^{\otimes 2}$ by

$$\tilde{\gamma}(v_i \otimes v_i) = 0, \quad \tilde{\gamma}(v_{-i} \otimes v_{-i}) = 0$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}(v_i \otimes v_{-i}) = q v_i \otimes v_{-i} - v_{-i} \otimes v_i,$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}(v_{-i} \otimes v_i) = q^{-1} v_{-i} \otimes v_i - v_i \otimes v_{-i}.$$

As matrices we have

$$\rho^{\otimes 2}(\tilde{\gamma}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \rho^{\otimes 2}(\tilde{\gamma})^2 = [2]\rho^{\otimes 2}(\tilde{\gamma})$$

so that this is an action of $T\mathbb{L}_2$ on $L(\Delta)^{\otimes 2}$.

The $T\mathbb{L}_2$ action commutes with the U_{qS2} action. i.e.

$$\rho^{\otimes 2}(T\mathbb{L}_2) \subseteq \text{End}_{U_q}(L(\Delta)^{\otimes 2}).$$

(4)

The Temperley-Lieb algebra T_{κ} is generated by

$$g = \overbrace{1111}^i \underbrace{\cup}_{j} \overbrace{1111}^{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k-1$$

Define an action of T_{κ} on $L(\Delta)^{\otimes k}$ by

$$g_j(v_{e_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{e_k}) = v_{e_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{e_{j-1}} \otimes \tilde{\alpha}(v_{e_j} \otimes v_{e_{j+1}}) \otimes v_{e_{j+2}} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{e_k}$$

Claim: (a) This defines a T_{κ} action on $V^{\otimes k}$

(b) This T_{κ} action commutes with the $U_{q,2}$ action on $V^{\otimes k}$.

Let A be an algebra and let M be a semisimple A -module

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} (A^{\lambda})^{\oplus m_{\lambda}}$$

Let

$$Z = \text{End}_A(M).$$

Then

$$Z = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{M}} M_{m_{\lambda}}(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{M}} A^{\lambda} \otimes Z^{\lambda}$$

as (A, Z) bimodules where

$$\hat{A} = \{\lambda \in \hat{A} \mid m_{\lambda} \neq 0\}.$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z &= \text{Hom}_A(M, M) \\
 &= \text{Hom}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_\lambda} A_i^\lambda, \bigoplus_{\mu \in \hat{A}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_\mu} A_j^\mu\right) \\
 &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^{m_\lambda} \text{Hom}_A(A_i^\lambda, A_j^\mu)
 \end{aligned}$$

by Schur's Lemma. Hence

$$Z = \text{span}\{e_{ij}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \hat{A}, 1 \leq i, j \leq m_\lambda\} \text{ where}$$

$$e_{ij}^\lambda : A_i^\lambda \rightarrow A_j^\lambda.$$

Normalize the e_{ij}^λ so that $(e_{ii}^\lambda)^* = e_{ii}^\lambda$ and

e_{ij}^λ and e_{ji}^λ so that $e_{ij}^\lambda e_{ji}^\lambda = e_{ii}^\lambda$. \square .