35 Tutorial 5: Completions

Learn to prove the following theorems, accurately, efficiently, using quality proof machine, without having to refer to notes. Learn to prove the following theorems, accurately, efficiently, using quality proof machine, without having to refer to notes. The first step of this process is to work through each and put the reason why each line appears where it appears. The possible reasons are:

- (a) (Proof type II) Assume the ifs
- (b) (Proof type II) To show the thens
- (c) (Rewriting) This is the definition of _____.
- (d) (Proof type III) To show something exists, construct it.
- (e) (Proof type III) To show the construction is valid.
- (f) (Proof type I) Compute the left hand side.
- (g) (Proof type I) Compute the right hand side.

Practice each proof so that you can do it efficiently without referring to notes.

35.0.1 Absolute convergence and completeness

Theorem 35.1. Let $(V, \| \ \|)$ be a normed vector space and let $d: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the metric on V given by $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$. Then V is a complete metric space if and only if V satisfies

If
$$(a_1, a_2, ...)$$
 is a sequence in V and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} ||a_i||$ converges
$$then \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} a_i \ converges. \tag{*}$$

35.0.2 Construction of the completion of a metric space

Theorem 35.2. Let (X,d) be a metric space. Let $(\widehat{X},\widehat{d},\varphi)$ be the metric space

$$\widehat{X} = \{ Cauchy \ sequences \ \vec{x} \ in \ X \}$$
 with the function $\varphi \colon \begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \widehat{X} \\ x & \longmapsto & (x, x, x, \ldots) \end{array}$

where \widehat{X} has the metric

$$\hat{d} \colon \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$
 defined by $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n),$

and Cauchy sequences $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, ...)$ and $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, ...)$ are equal in \hat{X} ,

$$\vec{x} = \vec{y}$$
 if $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$.

Then $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{d})$ with the isometry $\iota \colon X \to \widehat{X}$ such that

$$(\widehat{X},\widehat{d})$$
 is a complete metric space and $\overline{\varphi(X)}=\widehat{X},$

where $\overline{\varphi(X)}$ is the closure of the image of φ .

35.0.3 If W is complete then B(V,W) is complete

Theorem 35.3. Let $(V, \|\ \|)$ and $(W, \|\ \|)$ be normed vector spaces and let

 $B(V,W) = \{ linear \ transformations \ T \colon V \to W \ | \ \|T\| < \infty \} \qquad where$

$$||T|| = \sup \left\{ \frac{||Tv||}{||v||} \mid v \in V \text{ and } v \neq 0 \right\}.$$

If W is complete then B(V, W) is complete.

36 Tutorial 5: Solutions

36.1 Absolute convergence and completeness

Theorem 36.1. Let $(V, \| \ \|)$ be a normed vector space and let $d: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the metric on V given by $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$. Then V is a complete metric space if and only if V satisfies

If
$$(a_1, a_2, ...)$$
 is a sequence in V and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} ||a_i||$ converges
$$then \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} a_i \ converges. \tag{*}$$

Proof. \Rightarrow : Assume V is complete.

To show: If $(a_1, a_2, ...)$ is a sequence in V and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} ||a_i||$ converges then $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} a_i$ converges.

Assume $(a_1, a_2, ...)$ is a sequence in V and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} ||a_i||$ converges.

To show: $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} a_i$ converges.

Let

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$$
 and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n ||a_n||$.

Since the sequence $(S_1, S_2, ...)$ converges, the sequence $(S_1, S_2, ...)$ is Cauchy.

Since

$$||s_n - s_m|| = ||\sum_{i=m+1}^n a_i|| \le \sum_{i=m+1}^n ||a_i|| = ||S_n - S_m||,$$

the sequence (s_1, s_2, \ldots) is Cauchy.

Since V is complete, the sequence $(s_1, s_2, ...)$ converges.

So $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} a_i$ converges.

 \Leftarrow : Assume that V satisfies (*).

To show: V is complete.

Let (s_1, s_2, \ldots) be a Cauchy sequence in V.

To show: $(s_1, s_2, ...)$ converges. Let $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that if $r, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq k_n}$ then $||s_r - s_m|| < \frac{1}{2^n}$. Let

$$a_1 = s_{k_1}, \quad a_2 = s_{k_2} - s_{k_1}, \quad a_3 = s_{k_3} - s_{k_2}, \quad \dots$$
 Then $||a_n|| < \frac{1}{2^n}$.

So

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \|a_n\| < \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1.$$

So $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} ||a_n||$ converges.

Since V satisfies (*) then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} a_n$ converges.

So the sequence $(s_{k_1}, s_{k_2}, \ldots)$ converges since

$$s_{k_1} = a_1, \quad s_{k_2} = a_1 + a_2, \quad s_{k_3} = a_1 + a_2 + a_3, \quad \dots$$

So the sequence $(s_1, s_2, ...)$ has a cluster point.

Since $(s_1, s_2, ...)$ is Cauchy and has a cluster point then $(s_1, s_2, ...)$ converges.

So V is complete.

36.2 Construction of the completion of a metric space

Theorem 36.2. Let (X,d) be a metric space. Let $(\widehat{X},\widehat{d},\varphi)$ be the metric space

$$\widehat{X} = \{ Cauchy \ sequences \ \vec{x} \ in \ X \}$$
 with the function $\varphi \colon \begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \widehat{X} \\ x & \longmapsto & (x, x, x, \ldots) \end{array}$

where \widehat{X} has the metric

$$\hat{d} \colon \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$
 defined by $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n),$

and Cauchy sequences $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, ...)$ and $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, ...)$ are equal in \hat{X} ,

$$\vec{x} = \vec{y}$$
 if $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$.

Then $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{d})$ with the isometry $\iota \colon X \to \widehat{X}$ such that

$$(\widehat{X},\widehat{d})$$
 is a complete metric space and $\overline{\varphi(X)} = \widehat{X}$,

where $\overline{\varphi(X)}$ is the closure of the image of φ .

Proof.

To show: (a) (\hat{X}, \hat{d}) is a metric space.

- (b) $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{d})$ is complete.
- (c) $\varphi: X \to \widehat{X}$ is an isometry. (d) $\varphi(X) = \widehat{X}$.
- (c) To show: If $x, y \in X$ then $d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = d(x, y)$. Assume $x, y \in X$.

$$\hat{d}(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\varphi(x)_n,\varphi(y)_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x,y) = d(x,y).$$

So φ is an isometry.

(a) To show: (\hat{X}, \hat{d}) is a metric space.

To show: (aa) $\hat{d}: \hat{X} \times \hat{X} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ given by $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n)$ is a function.

- (ab) If $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \hat{d}(\vec{y}, \vec{x})$.
- (ac) If $\vec{x} \in \hat{X}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = 0$.
- (ad) If $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$ and $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$ then $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$.
- (ab) If $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \hat{X}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq \hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) + \hat{d}(\vec{y}, \vec{z})$.

(aa) To show: If $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$ then there exists a unique $z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $z = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n)$. Assume $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$ with $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ and $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots)$. Let d_1, d_2, \ldots be the sequence in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ given by

$$d_n = d(x_n, y_n).$$

To show: There exists $z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $z = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_n$.

Since $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a metric space, and metric spaces are Hausdorff, HERE WE USE THAT METRIC SPACES ARE HAUSDORFFand limits in Hausdorff spaces are unique when they exist, the limit z will be unique if it exists.

To show: d_1, d_2, \ldots is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. This will show that z exists since $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is complete HERE WE USE THAT $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ IS A COMPLETE METRIC SPACE and Cauchy sequences in complete spaces converge.

To show: If $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ then there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that if $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N}$ then $|d_m - d_n| < \epsilon$. Assume $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Let $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$, where

 N_1 is such that if $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N_1}$ then $d(x_m, x_n) \in \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, and N_2 is such that if $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N_2}$ then $d(y_m, y_n) \in \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

 $(N_1 \text{ and } N_2 \text{ exist since } \vec{x} \text{ and } \vec{y} \text{ are Cauchy sequences.})$

Assume $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>N}$.

To show: $|d_m - d_n| < \epsilon$.

$$|d_m - d_n| = |d(x_m, y_m) - d(x_n, y_n)| \le |d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_n, y_m)|,$$

since $d(x_n, y_n) \le d(x_n, x_m) + d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, y_m)$. So

$$|d_m - d_n| \le |d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_n, y_m)| \le |d(x_n, x_m)| + |d(y_n, y_m)| < \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_2 = \epsilon.$$

So d_1, d_2, \ldots is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

So $z = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_n$ exists in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

(ab) To show: If $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \hat{d}(\vec{y}, \vec{x})$. Assume $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$ with $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, ...)$ and $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, ...)$. Since $d(x_n, y_n) = d(y_n, x_n)$,

$$\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(y_n, x_n) = \hat{d}(\vec{y}, \vec{x}).$$

(ac) To show: If $\vec{x} \in \hat{X}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = 0$.

Assume $\vec{x} \in \hat{X}$.

To show $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = 0$.

Since $d(x_n, x_n) = 0$,

$$\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 0 = 0.$$

(ad) If $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \hat{X}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \le \hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + \hat{d}(\vec{z}, \vec{y})$.

Assume $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \hat{X}$.

To show: $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq \hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + \hat{d}(\vec{z}, \vec{y})$.

$$\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(d(x_n, z_n) + d(z_n, y_n) \right)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, z_n) + \lim_{n \to \infty} d(z_n, y_n) = \hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + \hat{d}(\vec{z}, \vec{y}),$$

where the next to last equality follows from the continuity of addition in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

(d) To show: $\overline{\varphi(X)} = \widehat{X}$.

To show: If $\vec{z} \in \hat{X}$ then there exists a sequence $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \ldots$ in $\varphi(X)$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}$.

Assume $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, \ldots) \in \hat{X}$.

To show: There exists $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \ldots$ in $\varphi(X)$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}$.

Let

$$\vec{x}_1 = (z_1, z_1, z_1, z_1, \ldots) = \varphi(z_1),$$

 $\vec{x}_2 = (z_2, z_2, z_2, z_2, \ldots) = \varphi(z_1),$
 $\vec{x}_3 = (z_3, z_3, z_3, z_3, \ldots) = \varphi(z_1),$...

so that $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \ldots$ is the sequence $\varphi(z_1), \varphi(z_2), \ldots$ in $\varphi(X)$.

To show: $\lim_{n\to\infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}$.

To show: $\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) = 0$.

To show: If $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ then there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that if $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) < \epsilon$.

Assume $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that if $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>N}$ then $d(z_r, z_s) < \epsilon/2$.

The value N exists since $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, ...)$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

To show: If $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>N}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) < \epsilon$.

Assume $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>N}$.

To show: $\hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) < \epsilon$.

To show: $\lim_{k\to\infty} d((\vec{x}_n)_k, z_k) < \epsilon$.

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d((\vec{x}_n)_k, z_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} d(z_n, z_k) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon, \quad \text{since } d(z_n, z_k) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for } k > N.$$

So $\lim_{n\to\infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}$.

So $\overline{\varphi(X)} = \widehat{X}$.

(b) To show: (\hat{X}, \hat{d}) is complete.

To show: If $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \ldots$ is a Cauchy sequence in \hat{X} then $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \ldots$ converges.

Assume

$$\vec{x}_1 = (x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, \ldots),$$

 $\vec{x}_2 = (x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, \ldots),$
 $\vec{x}_3 = (x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}, \ldots),$
:

is a Cauchy sequence in \widehat{X} .

To show: There exists $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, ...)$ in \hat{X} such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}$.

Using that $\overline{\varphi(X)} = \widehat{X}$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ let $z_k \in X$ be such that $\hat{d}(\varphi(z_k), \vec{x}_k) < \frac{1}{k}$.

$$\vec{x}_1 = (x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, \ldots), \qquad \varphi(z_1) = (z_1, z_1, z_1, z_1, \ldots), \qquad \hat{d}(\varphi(z_1), \vec{x}_1) < 1,$$

$$\vec{x}_2 = (x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, \ldots), \qquad \varphi(z_2) = (z_2, z_2, z_2, z_2, \ldots), \qquad \hat{d}(\varphi(z_2), \vec{x}_2) < \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\vec{x}_3 = (x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}, \ldots), \qquad \varphi(z_3) = (z_3, z_3, z_3, z_3, \ldots), \qquad \hat{d}(\varphi(z_3), \vec{x}_3) < \frac{1}{3},$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

- To show: (ba) $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, ...)$ is a Cauchy sequence. (bb) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}$.
- (ba) To show: If $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ then there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that if $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell}$ then $d(z_r, z_s) < \epsilon$. Assume $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

To show: There exists $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that if $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell}$ then $d(z_r, z_s) < \epsilon$.

Let
$$\ell_1 = \left\lceil \frac{3}{\epsilon} \right\rceil + 1$$
, so that $\frac{1}{\ell_1} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$.

Let $\ell_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that if $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell_2}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}_r, \vec{x}_s) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$.

Let $\ell = \max\{\ell_1, \ell_2\}$.

To show: If $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell}$ then $d(z_r, z_s) < \epsilon$.

Assume $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell}$.

To show: $d(z_r, z_s) < \epsilon$.

$$d(z_r, z_s) = \hat{d}(\varphi(z_r), \varphi(z_s)) \le \hat{d}(\varphi(z_r), \vec{x}_r) + \hat{d}(\vec{x}_r, \vec{x}_s) + \hat{d}(\vec{x}_s, \varphi(z_s))$$

$$\le \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{1}{s} < \frac{1}{\ell_1} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{1}{\ell_1} = \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon.$$

So \vec{z} is a Cauchy sequence.

(bb) To show $\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) = 0.$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \varphi(z_n)) + \hat{d}(\varphi(z_n), \vec{z}) \right) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \hat{d}(\varphi(z_n), \vec{z}) \right)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} + \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{d}(\varphi(z_n), \vec{z}) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

So $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{d})$ is complete.

So $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{d})$ with $\varphi \colon X \to \widehat{X}$ is a completion of X.