

Lecture 15: Metric and Hilbert spaces 21 August 2014 (7)

(ab) To show: If $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \hat{d}(\vec{y}, \vec{x})$

Assume $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$ with $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ and $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$.

Since $d(x_n, y_n) = d(y_n, x_n)$,

$$\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, x_n) = \hat{d}(\vec{y}, \vec{x}).$$

(ac) To show: If $\vec{x} \in \hat{X}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = 0$.

Assume $\vec{x} \in \hat{X}$.

To show: $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = 0$.

Since $d(x_n, x_n) = 0$,

$$\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0.$$

(ad) To show: If $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$ and $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$ then $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$.

Assume $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$ and $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$.

To show: $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$.

This is a consequence of the definition of $\hat{X}$ which requires that $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ if $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$.

(ae) If $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \hat{X}$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq \hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + \hat{d}(\vec{z}, \vec{y})$.

Assume $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \hat{X}$.

To show: $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq \hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + \hat{d}(\vec{z}, \vec{y})$.

$$\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(x_n, z_n) + d(z_n, y_n))$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, y_n)$$

$$= \hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + \hat{d}(\vec{z}, \vec{y}),$$

where the next to last ~~inequality~~ equality follows from the continuity of addition in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

(d) To show: $\overline{\varphi(X)} = \hat{X}$

To show: If $\vec{z} \in \hat{X}$ then there exists a sequence $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots$ in $\varphi(X)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}$.

Let $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots) \in \hat{X}$.

To show: There exists $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots$ in $\varphi(X)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}$.

Let $\vec{x}_1 = (z_1, z_1, z_1, z_1, \dots) = \varphi(z_1),$
 $\vec{x}_2 = (z_2, z_2, z_2, z_2, \dots) = \varphi(z_2),$
 $\vec{x}_3 = (z_3, z_3, z_3, \dots) = \varphi(z_3), \dots$

Then $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots$ is the sequence $\varphi(z_1), \varphi(z_2), \dots$ in $\varphi(X)$.

To show: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}$.

To show: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) = 0$.

To show: If $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that if $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and $n > N$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) < \varepsilon$.

Assume $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ be such that if $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and $r > N$ and $s > N$ then $d(z_r, z_s) < \varepsilon/2$.

(9)

To show: If $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $n > N$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) < \varepsilon$.

Assume $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $n > N$.

To show: $\hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) < \varepsilon$.

To show: $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, z_k) < \varepsilon$.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, z_k) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon,$$

since $d(z_n, z_k) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for $k > N$.

$$\text{So } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}.$$

$$\text{So } \overline{\varphi(X)} = \hat{X}.$$

(b) To show: $(\hat{X}, \hat{d})$ is complete

To show: If $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\hat{X}$ then $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots$ converges.

Assume

$$\vec{x}_1 = (x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, \dots),$$

$$\vec{x}_2 = (x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, \dots),$$

$$\vec{x}_3 = (x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}, \dots), \dots$$

is a Cauchy sequence in $\hat{X}$.

To show: There exists $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots)$ in $\hat{X}$

such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}$.

Using that $\varphi(X)$ is $\hat{X}$,

(10)

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ let $z_k \in X$ be such that $\hat{d}(\varphi(z_k), \vec{x}_k) < \frac{1}{k}$.

$$\vec{x}_1 = (x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, \dots), \quad \varphi(z_1) = (z_1, z_1, z_1, \dots),$$

$$\vec{x}_2 = (x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, \dots), \quad \varphi(z_2) = (z_2, z_2, z_2, \dots),$$

$$\vec{x}_3 = (x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}, \dots), \quad \varphi(z_3) = (z_3, z_3, z_3, \dots),$$

...

...

To show: (da) $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots)$ is a Cauchy sequence

$$(db) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vec{x}_n = \vec{z}.$$

(da) To show: If $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ then there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that if $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $r > N$ and $s > N$ then $d(z_r, z_s) < \varepsilon$.

Assume $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

To show: There exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that if $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $r > N$ and $s > N$ then $d(z_r, z_s) < \varepsilon$.

Let $N_1 = \left\lceil \frac{3}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil + 1$, so that $\frac{1}{N_1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

Let $N_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that if $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $r > N_2$ and $s > N_2$ then $\hat{d}(\vec{x}_r, \vec{x}_s) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

Let $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$.

To show: If $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $r > N$ and $s > N$ then $d(z_r, z_s) < \varepsilon$.

Assume $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ and $r > N$ and $s > N$.

To show: $d(\vec{z}_r, \vec{z}_s) < \varepsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(\vec{z}_r, \vec{z}_s) &= \hat{d}(\varphi(\vec{z}_r), \varphi(\vec{z}_s)) \\ &\leq \hat{d}(\varphi(\vec{z}_r), \vec{x}_r) + \hat{d}(\vec{x}_r, \vec{x}_s) + \hat{d}(\vec{x}_s, \varphi(\vec{z}_s)) \\ &< \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{1}{s} < \frac{1}{N_1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{1}{N_1} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So $\vec{z}$ is Cauchy.

(bb) To show: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \vec{z}) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{d}(\vec{x}_n, \varphi(\vec{z}_n)) + \hat{d}(\varphi(\vec{z}_n), \vec{z})) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \hat{d}(\varphi(\vec{z}_n), \vec{z}) \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{d}(\varphi(\vec{z}_n), \vec{z}) \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $(\hat{X}, \hat{d})$ is complete.

So $(\hat{X}, \hat{d})$ with φ is a completion. $\square$