

## Lecture 14: Metric and Hilbert spaces 20 August 2014 (3)

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space.

The completion of  $(X, d)$  is a metric space  $(\hat{X}, \hat{d})$  with an isometry  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$  such that  $(\hat{X}, \hat{d})$  is complete and  $\overline{\varphi(X)} = \hat{X}$ .

HW (Uniqueness of completions). If  $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{d}_1)$  with  $\varphi_1: X \rightarrow \hat{X}_1$  and  $(\hat{X}_2, \hat{d}_2)$  with  $\varphi_2: X \rightarrow \hat{X}_2$  are completions of  $(X, d)$  then there exists  $f: \hat{X}_1 \rightarrow \hat{X}_2$  such that

(a)  $f$  is an isometry,

(b)  $f$  is a bijection,

(c)  $f \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \hat{X}_1 & \\ \varphi_1 \nearrow & \downarrow f & \\ X & & \varphi_2 \searrow \\ & \hat{X}_2 & \end{array}$$

## Existence of completions

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space.

Let  $\hat{X}$  be the set of Cauchy sequences  $\vec{x}: \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \rightarrow X$

with  $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ ,

where  $\vec{x}: \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \rightarrow X$  and  $\vec{y}: \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \rightarrow X$

$$n \mapsto x_n$$

$$n \mapsto y_n$$

(4)

Define  $\hat{d}: \hat{X} \times \hat{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  by

$$d(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n),$$

where  $\vec{x}: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow X$  and  $\vec{y}: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow X$   
 $n \mapsto x_n$        $n \mapsto y_n$ .

Define  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$  by  $\varphi(x) = (x, x, \dots)$ ,

i.e.  $\varphi(x): \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow X$   
 $n \mapsto x$ .

Theorem  $(\hat{X}, \hat{d})$  with  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$  is a completion  
of  $(X, d)$ .

Proof To show: (a)  $(\hat{X}, \hat{d})$  is a metric space

(b)  $(\hat{X}, \hat{d})$  is complete

(c)  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$  is an isometry

(d)  $\overline{\varphi(X)} = \hat{X}$ .

(a) To show: If  $x, y \in X$  then  $d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = d(x, y)$ .

Assume  $x, y \in X$ .

To show:  $d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = d(x, y)$

$$d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\varphi(x)_n, \varphi(y)_n)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, y) = d(x, y).$$

So  $\varphi$  is an isometry.

(5)

(a) To show:  $(\hat{X}, \hat{d})$  is a metric space

To show: (aa)  $\hat{d}: \hat{X} \times \hat{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  given by

$\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n)$ , is a function.

(ab) If  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$  then  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \hat{d}(\vec{y}, \vec{x})$

(ac) If  $\vec{x} \in \hat{X}$  then  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = 0$ .

(ad) If  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$  and  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$  then  $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ .

(aa) If  $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \hat{X}$  then  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq \hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + \hat{d}(\vec{z}, \vec{y})$ .

(aa) To show: If  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$  then there exists a unique  $z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n)$ .

Assume  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$  with  $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ .

Let  $d_1, d_2, \dots$  be the sequence in  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  given by

$$d_n = d(x_n, y_n)$$

To show: There exists  $z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n$

Since limits in metric spaces are unique when they exist,  $z$  will be unique if it exists, see Rudinstein Notes Proposition 2.9.

To show:  $d_1, d_2, \dots$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

This will show that  $z$  exists since Cauchy sequences in  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  converge, since  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is complete, see Rudinstein notes theorem 4.6.

(6)

To show: If  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that if  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $m > N$  and  $n > N$  then  $|d_m - d_n| < \epsilon$ .

Assume  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

Let  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ , where

$N_1$  is such that if  $n, m > N_1$  then  $d(x_m, x_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ ,

$N_2$  is such that if  $n, m > N_2$  then  $d(y_m, y_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

( $N_1$  and  $N_2$  exist since  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  are Cauchy sequences).

To show: If  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $m > N$  and  $n > N$  then  $|d_m - d_n| < \epsilon$ .

Assume  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $m > N$  and  $n > N$ .

To show:  $|d_m - d_n| < \epsilon$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |d_m - d_n| &= |d(x_m, y_m) - d(x_n, y_n)| \\ &< |d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_n, y_m)|, \end{aligned}$$

since  $d(x_m, y_m) \leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(x_m, y_n) + d(y_n, y_m)$ .  
~~and so~~ So

$$|d_m - d_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

So  $d_1, d_2, \dots$  is a Cauchy sequence on  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .

So  $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .

Lecture 15: Metric and Hilbert spaces 21 August 2014

(7)

(ab) To show: If  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$  then  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \hat{d}(\vec{y}, \vec{x})$

Assume  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$  with  $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ .

Since  $d(x_n, y_n) = d(y_n, x_n)$ ,

$$\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, x_n) = \hat{d}(\vec{y}, \vec{x}).$$

(ac) To show: If  $\vec{x} \in \hat{X}$  then  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = 0$ .

Assume  $\vec{x} \in \hat{X}$ .

To show:  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = 0$ .

Since  $d(x_n, x_n) = 0$ ,

$$\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0.$$

(ad) To show: If  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$  and  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$  then  $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ .

Assume  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \hat{X}$  and  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$ .

To show:  $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ .

This is a consequence of the definition of  $\hat{X}$   
which requires that  $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$  if  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$ .

(ae) If  $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \hat{X}$  then  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq \hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + \hat{d}(\vec{z}, \vec{y})$ .

Assume  $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \hat{X}$ .

To show:  $\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq \hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + \hat{d}(\vec{z}, \vec{y})$ .

$$\hat{d}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(x_n, z_n) + d(z_n, y_n))$$