

Theta functions as matrix coefficients for theisenberg representations  
 Number theory seminar, University of Melbourne, 16 March 2015  
The group  $\text{Heis}(\mathbb{R})$  and its Lie algebra A. Lam

$$G = \text{Heis}(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f(\lambda, x) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_1^\times, x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \}$$

with

$$(f(\lambda, x)(\mu, y)) = (\lambda \mu e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{2} A(x, y)}, x+y) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_1^\times = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\} \quad \text{and}$$

$A: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a nondeg. skewsymm. bilinear form.

$\text{Lie}(G)$  has basis  $\{p_1, \dots, p_g, q_1, \dots, q_g\}$  with

$$[p_i, p_j] = 0, \quad [q_i, q_j] = 0, \quad [h, p_i] = 0, \quad [h, q_j] = 0$$

$$[p_i, q_j] = \delta_{ij} h.$$

The module version

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{ f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \int |f(x_1)|^2 dx_1 < \infty \}$$

with

$$(U_{(\lambda, y_1, y_2)} f)(x_1) = \lambda e^{2\pi i (x_1 \cdot y_2 + \frac{1}{2} y_1 \cdot y_2)} f(x_1 + y_1)$$

$$(P_i f)(x_1) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f \right)(x_1), \quad (q_j f)(x_1) = 2\pi i x_j f(x_1)$$

$$(h f)(x_1) = 2\pi i f(x_1).$$

(2)

## The module $\mathcal{H}$ version 2

$$\mathcal{H}^2(R^{2g}/\mathbb{Z}^{2g}) = \left\{ f: R^{2g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \begin{array}{l} \int_{R^{2g}/\mathbb{Z}^{2g}} |f(x)|^2 < \infty, \text{ and for } n \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \\ f(k+n) = e^{2\pi i \cdot n \cdot t_n} e^{-i\pi A(n, x)} f(x) \end{array} \right\}$$

with

$$(U_{(\lambda, y)} f)(x) = \lambda e^{i\pi A(x, y)} f(x+y)$$

## The module $\mathcal{H}$ version 3 (really the module $\mathcal{H}^*$ )

$$\mathcal{H}_0^2(\mathbb{C}^g, \tau) = \left\{ f: \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is holomorphic and} \\ \int_{\mathbb{C}^g} |f(z)|^2 e^{-2\pi z^t \cdot \text{Im} \tau \cdot z} dz_1 dz_2 \end{array} \right\}$$

with

$$(U_{(\lambda, y)} f)(z) = \lambda^{-1} e^{2\pi i (y^t \cdot z + \frac{1}{2} y^t \cdot y)} f(z+y)$$

## The module $\mathcal{H}$ version 4

$$\mathcal{H}_0^2(\mathbb{C}^g, \tau) = \left\{ f: \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is holomorphic and} \\ \int_{\mathbb{C}^g} |f(z)|^2 e^{-\pi z^t (\text{Im} \tau)^{-1} z} dz \end{array} \right\}$$

with

$$(U_{(\lambda, y)} f)(z) = \lambda^{-1} e^{-\pi (z - \frac{1}{2} y)^t (\text{Im} \tau)^{-1} z} f(z+y)$$

$$(p_i f)(z) = \left( -\pi \sum_k \left( \text{Im} \tau \right)^{-1} \right)_{ik} z_k + \sum_j \tau_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f$$

$$(q_j f)(z) = \left( -\pi \sum_i \left( \text{Im} \tau \right)^{-1} \right)_{jk} z_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f$$

(3)

## Distinguished vectors

$\sigma: \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(2g, \mathbb{R})$  is given by

$$\sigma(n_1, n_2) = (e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{2} n_1^t n_2}, n_1, n_2)$$

For  $\tau \in G_g$ , ( $G_g = \{\tau \in M_{g \times g}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \tau^t = \tau, \text{Im } \tau \text{ is pos. def.}\}$ )

$$W_\tau = \text{span}\{q_i - \sum_j \tau_{ij} q_j \mid i=1, 2, \dots, g\}$$

$$W_{\bar{\tau}} = \text{span}\{q_i - \sum_j \bar{\tau}_{ij} q_j \mid i=1, 2, \dots, g\}$$

and define  $\theta: \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\theta(x, \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}} e^{i\pi n^t x n + 2\pi i n^t x}$$

## Mumford Theorems 2.2 and 2.3

$$(a) L^2(\mathbb{R}^g)^{W_\tau} = \text{span}\{e^{i\pi x_1^t \tau x_1}\} \text{ and } H_\theta^2(\mathbb{C}^g, \tau)^{W_{\bar{\tau}}} = \text{span}\{1\}$$

$$(b) \left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^g / \mathbb{Z}^{2g})_{-\infty}\right)^{\sigma(\mathbb{Z}^{2g})} = \text{span}\left\{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}} e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{2} n^t n_2} \delta_n\right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\left(H_\theta^2(\mathbb{C}^g, \tau)_{-\infty}\right)^{\sigma(\mathbb{Z}^{2g})} = \text{span}\{\theta(x, \tau)\}.$$

## Hilbert<sub>2g</sub>(R)-module isomorphisms

(4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 L^2(R^g) &\rightarrow L^2(R^{2g}/\mathbb{Z}^{2g}) \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{C}^g, \iota) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{C}^g, \iota) \\
 f(x_1) &\mapsto f^*(x_1) \\
 e^{i\pi x_1 t_{2g}} &\mapsto e^{i\pi x_1 t_{\underline{x}}} \quad \theta(x, \iota) \mapsto e^{-\frac{i}{2}\pi x^t (\text{Im}\iota)^{-1} x} \theta(x, \iota) \\
 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \delta_n &\mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}} e^{2\pi i n^t t_{2g}} \delta_n \mapsto \theta(x, \iota) \mapsto e^{\frac{i}{2}\pi x^t (\text{Im}\iota)^{-1} x} \theta(x, \iota)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f^*(x_1) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}} f(x_1 + n) e^{2\pi i (n^t u_2 + \frac{1}{2} x_1^t K_2)}$$

and

$$f(x_1) = \int_{R^{2g}/\mathbb{Z}^{2g}} f^*(x_1) e^{2\pi i (-\frac{1}{2} x_1^t x_1)} dx_1$$

What is  $\mathcal{H}_{-\infty}$ ?

$$\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{C}^g, \iota) \ni V_1 \ni V_2 \ni \dots, \quad \text{where}$$

$$V_n = \{ \text{polynomials in } \underline{x} \text{ of degree } \leq n \}$$

$$= \text{span} \{ d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n \cdot 1 \mid d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n \in \text{Lie}(G) \}$$

Then (see Mumford p. 21)

$\mathcal{H}_{-\infty} = \{ f \in \mathcal{H} \mid d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n f \text{ is defined for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, d_1, \dots, d_n \in \text{Lie}(G) \}$   
 (Theorem  $\overline{\mathcal{H}_{-\infty}} = \mathcal{H}$ ). Then " $\mathcal{H}_{-\infty}$  is the "graded dual" of  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ " and

$$\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-\infty} = \{ \text{conjugate linear continuous } l : \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \}$$

$$x \mapsto l_x : \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$y \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle$$

## Matrix coefficients

There are commuting

actions of  $\text{Heis}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  on  $\{f : \mathbb{R}^{2g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$

given by

$$(\mathcal{U}_{(x,y)}^{\text{left}} f)(x) = \lambda^{-1} e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{\lambda} A(x,y)} f(x-y)$$

$$(\mathcal{U}_{(x,y)}^{\text{right}} f)(y) = \lambda e^{2\pi i \frac{y}{\lambda} A(x,y)} f(x+y)$$

As  $\text{Heis}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  bimodules

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2g}) \stackrel{"="}{=} \mathcal{H}^* \otimes \mathcal{H} \stackrel{"="}{=} \text{span} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e_{fg} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ x \mapsto \langle \mathcal{U}_{(x,x)} f, g \rangle \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} f \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \\ g \in \mathcal{H}_{-\infty} \end{array} \right\}$$

(see Mumford p. 32 for more precise discussion of " $=$ ").

Mumford Cor 2.4 The function on  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2g}/\mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  denoted

$$\theta^* \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right] (\tau) = e^{-i\pi x_1 t_{x_2}} \theta \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right] (D; \tau) = e^{i\pi x_1 t_{(ix_1 + x_2)}} \theta(ix_1 + x_2, \tau)$$

is equal to

$$\langle \mathcal{U}_{(1,x)} f_\tau, e_\tau \rangle$$

where  $f_\tau \in (\mathcal{H}_\infty)^{W_\tau}$  and  $e_\tau \in (\mathcal{H}_{-\infty})^{\sigma(\mathbb{Z}^{2g})}$ .

(Mumford proof p. 27 uses, on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2g}/\mathbb{Z}^{2g})_{-\infty}$ ,

$$e_\tau = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}} e^{2\pi i n^\top t_{x_2}} \delta_n \quad \text{and} \quad f_\tau = e^{i\pi x_1 t_{(x_1 + x_2)}} \theta(x, \tau)$$